International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Volume **20**, Issue no. 3, (2025), 737–741 DOI: https://doi.org/10.69793/ijmcs/03.2025/omar



e-Prime Ideals of Commutative Rings

Omar A. Abdullah, Ali Sh. Ajeel, Mohmad E. Dahash

Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and Mathematics Tikrit University Tikrit, Iraq

email: omerabdulrazzaqa@tu.edu.iq, ali.shebl@tu.edu.iq, mohmad.e.dahash35391@st.tu.edu.iq

(Received April 1, 2025, Accepted April 27, 2025, Published April 28, 2025)

Abstract

In this paper, we define and study a new class of ideals, called e-prime ideals, that largely generalizes the concept of prime ideals. Moreover, we settle the e-prime ideals of the direct product and polynomial rings.

1 Introduction

In this paper, all rings will be commutative with identity. We let ID(R) denote the set of all idempotents of R. In recent years, many generalizations of the concept of prime ideal have been studied such as weakly prime ideals [1], S-prime ideals [2], and 1-absorbing prime ideals [3]. In this paper, we study a new class of ideals, called *e*-prime ideals.

Let R be a ring and let $e \in ID(R)$ be a fixed idempotent element. A proper ideal I of R with $e \notin I$ is said to be an e-prime ideal of R if whenever $x, y \in R$ with $xy \in I$, then $ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$. Among other things, we show that I is an e-prime ideal of R if and only if R/I is an \bar{e} -domain. We also investigate e-prime ideals in direct product and polynomial rings.

Key words and phrases: *e*-prime ideal, prime ideal, idempotent element. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 13A15.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2025, https://future-in-tech.net

2 Properties of *e*-prime ideals

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and $e \in ID(R)$ be a fixed idempotent element. A proper ideal I of R with $e \notin I$ is said to be an e-prime ideal of R if whenever $x, y \in R$ with $xy \in I$, then $ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$.

It is easy to observe that every prime ideal is an *e*-prime ideal but the converse statement is not always true as the following example shows.

Example 2.2. Let R be a ring and let I be a prime ideal of R. Note that $e = (0, 1) \in ID(R \times R)$ and $e \notin I \times I$. We have $(I \times I :_{R \times R} e) = R \times I$ which is a prime ideal of $R \times R$. Using the following Proposition 2.3, $I \times I$ is an *e*-prime ideal of $R \times R$ but clearly $I \times I$ is not a prime ideal of $R \times R$.

Proposition 2.3. Let R be a ring and let $e \in ID(R)$. An ideal I of R with $e \notin I$ is an e-prime ideal of R if and only $(I :_R e)$ is a prime ideal of R.

Proof. (⇒) Let $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in (I :_R e)$. Then, $exy \in I$ and so $e^2x = ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$ since I is an e-prime ideal. So, $x \in (I :_R e)$ or $y \in (I :_R e)$ and so $(I :_R e)$ is a prime ideal of R. (⇐) Let $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in I \subseteq (I :_R e)$. Therefore, $x \in (I :_R e)$ or $y \in (I :_R e)$ as $(I :_R e)$ is a prime ideal of R. Then, $ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$. Consequently, I is an e-prime ideal of R.

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a ring, $e \in ID(R)$, and I be a proper ideal of R with $e \notin I$. Then, I is an e-prime ideal of R if and only if whenever P, Q are ideals of R with $PQ \subseteq I$, then $eP \subseteq I$ or $eQ \subseteq I$.

Proof. (⇒) Let *P*, *Q* be ideals of *R* with $PQ \subseteq I$ and suppose that $eP \not\subseteq I$. Take $ep \in eP - I$ and let $x \in Q$. Then, $epx \in I$ with $e^2p = ep \notin I$ so $ex \in I$ since *I* is an *e*-prime ideal. Hence, $eQ \subseteq I$. (⇐) Let $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in I$. Then, $(Rx)(Ry) \subseteq I$ and so $e(Rx) = Rex \subseteq I$ or $e(Ry) = Rey \subseteq I$ thus $ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$. Consequently, *I* is an *e*-prime ideal of *R*.

Proposition 2.5. Let R be a ring, $e \in ID(R)$, and let I be a proper ideal of R with $e \notin I$. The following statements hold:

(1) If I is a prime ideal of R and J is an ideal of R with $e \in J$, then IJ is an e-prime ideal of R.

(2) Let $f : R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, and let P be an f(e)-prime ideal of S. Then, $f^{-1}(P)$ is an e-prime ideal of R.

Proof. (1) Note that $e \notin IJ$. Let $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in IJ$. Since I is a prime ideal of R and $xy \in IJ \subseteq I$, $x \in I$ or $y \in I$. Therefore, $ex \in IJ$ or $ey \in IJ$ since $e \in J$ hence IJ is an e-prime ideal of R.

(2) Note that $e \notin f^{-1}(P)$ since we are assuming $f(e) \notin P$. Let $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in f^{-1}(P)$. Then, $f(x)f(y) \in P$ and so $f(ex) \in P$ or $f(ey) \in P$. Thus, $ex \in f^{-1}(P)$ or $ey \in f^{-1}(P)$. As a result, $f^{-1}(P)$ is an *e*-prime ideal of R.

Let R be a ring and let $e \in ID(R)$ be a non-zero idempotent. We say that R is an e-domain if whenever $a, b \in R$ with ab = 0, then ea = 0 or eb = 0. If I is and ideal of R and $x \in R$, we use \bar{x} to denote that image of x in R/I.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a ring, $e \in ID(R)$, and let I be a proper ideal of R with $e \notin I$. Then, I is an e-prime ideal of R if and only if R/I is an \bar{e} -domain.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that I is an e-prime ideal of R and let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in R/I$ such that $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{0}$. It follows that $xy \in I$ so $ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$ and hence $\bar{e}\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{e}\bar{y} = \bar{0}$ thus R/I is an \bar{e} -domain. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that R/I is an \bar{e} -domain and let $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in I$. Then, $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{0}$ and so $\bar{e}\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{e}\bar{y} = \bar{0}$. Therefore, $ex \in I$ or $ey \in I$. As a result, I is an e-prime ideal of R.

Proposition 2.7. Let R be a ring, $e \in ID(R)$, and let $I \subseteq P$ be proper ideals of R with $e \notin P$. Then, P is an e-prime ideal of R if and only if P/I is an \bar{e} -prime ideal of R/I.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in R/I$ such that $\bar{x}\bar{y} \in P/I$. Thus, $xy \in P$ and so $ex \in P$ or $ey \in P$ since P is an e-prime ideal of R. Hence, $\bar{e}\bar{x} \in P/I$ or $\bar{e}\bar{y} \in P/I$ and therefore P/I is an \bar{e} -prime ideal of R/I.

(\Leftarrow) Consider the canonical homomorphism $\pi : R \to R/I$ defined by $\pi(x) = \bar{x}$. Since $\pi^{-1}(P/I) = P$ and P/I is an \bar{e} -prime ideal of R/I by assumption, we conclude that P is an e-prime ideal of R by Proposition 2.5 (2).

Theorem 2.8 (The avoidance property of *e***-prime ideals).** Let R be a ring, $e \in ID(R)$, and let I_i be *e*-prime ideals of R where $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. If P is an ideal of R with $P \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$, then $eP \subseteq I_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, $(I_i :_R e)$ is a prime ideal of R for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover, $P \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (I_i :_R e)$. By the prime avoidance lemma, we have $P \subseteq (I_j :_R e)$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots\}$ and so $eP \subseteq I_j$ as stated. **Theorem 2.9.** Let R_i be a ring, $e_i \in ID(R_i)$, and let I_i be a proper ideal of R_i where i = 1, 2. Then, $I_1 \times I_2$ is an (e_1, e_2) -prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$ if and only if $e_1 \in I_1$ and I_2 is an e_2 -prime ideal of R_2 or $e_2 \in I_2$ and I_1 is an e_1 -prime ideal of R_1 .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Note that $(1,0)(0,1) \in I_1 \times I_2$ and so $(e_1,e_2)(1,0) \in I_1 \times I_2$ or $(e_1,e_2)(0,1) \in I_1 \times I_2$ because $I_1 \times I_2$ is an (e_1,e_2) -prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$. It follows that $e_1 \in I_1$ and $e_2 \notin I_2$ or $e_2 \in I_2$ and $e_1 \notin I_1$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $e_1 \in I_1$ and $e_2 \notin I_2$. Let $x, y \in R_2$ such that $xy \in I_2$. Then, $(0,x)(0,y) \in I_1 \times I_2$ and hence $(e_1,e_2)(0,x) \in I_1 \times I_2$ or $(e_1,e_2)(0,y) \in I_1 \times I_2$. Consequently, $e_2x \in I_2$ or $e_2y \in I_2$ and thus I_2 is an e_2 -prime ideal of R_2 .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $e_1 \in I_1$ and I_2 is an e_2 -prime ideal of R_2 . Then, $(e_1, e_2) \notin I_1 \times I_2$. Let $(x, y), (x', y') \in R_1 \times R_2$ such that $(x, y)(x', y') \in I_1 \times I_2$. Then, $yy' \in I_2$ and so $e_2y \in I_2$ or $e_2y' \in I_2$. As a result, $(e_1, e_2)(x, y) \in I_1 \times I_2$ or $(e_1, e_2)(x', y') \in I_1 \times I_2$ so $I_1 \times I_2$ is an (e_1, e_2) -prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$. A similar argument proves the other case.

Lemma 2.10. Let R be a ring, $b \in R$, and let I be an ideal of R. In the ring R[x], we have $(I[x] :_{R[x]} b) = (I :_R b)[x]$.

Proof. The inclusion $(I :_R b)[x] \subseteq (I[x] :_{R[x]} b)$ is evident. So we prove the other inclusion. Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n x^n \in (I[x] :_{R[x]} b)$. Then, $bf = \sum_{n=0}^{k} ba_n x^n \in I[x]$ thus $ba_n \in I$ and so $a_n \in (I :_R b)$ for all $n \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. This shows that $f(x) \in (I :_R b)[x]$ and so $(I[x] :_{R[x]} b) \subseteq (I :_R b)[x]$.

Theorem 2.11. Let R be a ring, $e \in ID(R)$, and let I be a proper ideal of R with $e \notin I$. Then, I is an e-prime ideal of R if and only if I[x] is an e-prime ideal of R[x].

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By Proposition 2.3, it suffices to prove that $(I[x] :_{R[x]} e)$ is a prime ideal of R[x]. Since I is an e-prime ideal of R, $(I :_R e)$ is a prime ideal of R and so $(I :_R e)[x]$ is a prime ideal of R[x]. By Lemma 2.10 $(I[x] :_{R[x]} e)$ is a prime ideal of R[x].

(\Leftarrow) Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in I$. Then, $ab \in I[x]$ and so $ea \in I[x]$ or $eb \in I[x]$ since I[x] is an *e*-prime ideal of R[x]. Consequently, $ea \in I$ or $eb \in I$. Consequently, I is an *e*-prime ideal of R.

740

References

- D. D. Anderson, E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, Houston J. Math., 29, (2003), 831–840.
- [2] A. Hamed, A. Malek, S-prime ideals of a commutative ring, Beitr. Algebra Geom., 61, (2020), 533–542.
- [3] A. Yassine, M. J. Nikmehr, R. Nikandish, On 1-absorbing prime ideals of commutative rings, J. Algebra Appl., **20**, (2021), 2150175.