

On the impact of exponential functors on some types of continuous mappings

Mamadaliev Nodirbek Kamoldinovich¹, Toshbuvaev Boburmirzo²,
Kholboev Bokhodir³

¹V. I. Romanovskiy Institute of Mathematics
Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences
University street, 9, Olmazor district
Tashkent, 100174, Uzbekistan

²Fergana State University
Murabbiylar street, 19,
Fergana, 150100, Uzbekistan

³Kimyo International University in Tashkent
str. Usman Nasyr 156
100121, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

email: bakhodir.kholboev@gmail.com

(Received February 22, 2025, Accepted March 19, 2025,
Published April 3, 2025)

Abstract

The work is devoted to behaviors and some applied fields in a discrete dynamical system in a S^3 simplex and the study of the motion of the trajectory using simulation, instead of four-dimensional space drawing in a three-dimensional space.

Key words and phrases: Exponential space, permutation degree, almost open mapping, Vietoris topology, pseudo-open mapping.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 54B20, 54A25

ISSN 1814-0432, 2025, <https://future-in-tech.net>

1 Introduction

Let X be a T_1 topological space. The set of all non-empty closed subsets of X is denoted by $\exp X$. The topology on $\exp X$ generated by the basis

$$O\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \{F \in \exp X : F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i, F \cap U_i \neq \emptyset, \forall i\}$$

is called the Vietoris topology. The space $(\exp X, \text{Vietoris topology})$ is called the hyperspace of X .

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called almost-open if, for each $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that for every neighborhood U of x , the image $f(U)$ is a neighborhood of y .

The purpose of this paper is to investigate how the functor \exp_n influences almost-open and pseudo-open mappings. Key results presented here extend previous work by Arhangel'skii [1], Kaygorodov [6], Ljuisa [7], Fedorchuk and Filippov [2], Nagata [3], and Koussaour [8] on general mappings, as well as Lin's studies on point-countable covers [4]. For example, we show that:

For the exponential functor \exp_n , the mapping $\exp_n f: SP^n X \rightarrow SP^n Y$ is almost-open and pseudo-open whenever $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost-open or pseudo-open, respectively.

By establishing these results, we contribute to a broader understanding of functorial transformations in topology and their implications for continuous mappings. This research opens avenues for further exploration of functorial interactions in generalized topological settings.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. *The mapping $\exp_n f: \exp_n X \rightarrow \exp_n Y$ is almost-open for every almost-open mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an almost-open and surjective mapping. We take an arbitrary element $F \in \exp_n Y$. Let us say $F = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. By the normality of the functor $\exp_n: \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ the mapping $\exp_n f: \exp_n X \rightarrow \exp_n Y$ is also surjective. We show that this mapping is almost-open.

Since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost-open, for every $y_i \in F$ there exists $x_i \in X$ such that the image $f(W)$ of an arbitrary neighborhood W of x_i is a neighborhood of y_i , as well. Put $C = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Then clearly $(\exp_n f)(C) = f(C) = F$, or equivalently $C \in (\exp_n f)^{-1}(F)$. Now, consider an arbitrary basic neighborhood $\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle$ of C in $\exp_n X$, where U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n

are open neighborhoods of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , respectively. We must show that $F \in \text{Int}((\exp_n f)(\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle))$.

First of all, note that

$$(\exp_n f)(\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle) = \langle f(U_1), f(U_2), \dots, f(U_n) \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.3 in [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} F \in \langle \text{Int}(f(U_1)), \text{Int}(f(U_2)), \dots, \text{Int}(f(U_n)) \rangle \subset \\ \subset \text{Int}(\langle f(U_1), f(U_2), \dots, f(U_n) \rangle) = \text{Int}((\exp_n f)(\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1 follows. □

Theorem 2.2. *The mapping $\exp_n f: \exp_n X \rightarrow \exp_n Y$ is pseudo-open for every pseudo-open mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a pseudo-open and surjective mapping. We take an arbitrary element $F \in \exp_n Y$. Let us say $F = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. By the normality of the functor $\exp_n: \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$, the mapping $\exp_n f: \exp_n X \rightarrow \exp_n Y$ is also surjective. We show that this mapping is pseudo-open.

Since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is pseudo-open, for every $y_i \in F$ there exists $x_i^j \in X$, $j \in A$, such that the image $f(W)$ of an arbitrary neighborhood W of x_i^j is a neighborhood of y_i as well. Put $C^j = \{x_1^j, x_2^j, \dots, x_n^j\}$. Then clearly $(\exp_n f)(C^j) = f(C^j) = F$, or equivalently $C^j \in (\exp_n f)^{-1}(F)$.

Now, consider an arbitrary basic neighborhood $\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle$ of C^j in $\exp_n X$, where U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are open neighborhoods of $x_1^j, x_2^j, \dots, x_n^j$, respectively. We must show that $F \in \text{Int}((\exp_n f)(\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle))$.

First of all, note that $(\exp_n f)(\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle) = \langle f(U_1), f(U_2), \dots, f(U_n) \rangle$.

Consequently,

$$\langle \text{Int}(f(U_1)), \text{Int}(f(U_2)), \dots, \text{Int}(f(U_n)) \rangle \subset \text{Int}(\langle f(U_1), f(U_2), \dots, f(U_n) \rangle).$$

Since $y_i \in \text{Int}(f(U_i))$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F \in \langle \text{Int}(f(U_1)), \text{Int}(f(U_2)), \dots, \text{Int}(f(U_n)) \rangle \subset \text{Int}(\langle f(U_1), f(U_2), \dots, f(U_n) \rangle) = \\ = \text{Int}((\exp_n f)(\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2 follows. □

References

- [1] A. V. Arhangelskii, Mappings and spaces, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **21**,(1966), 115–162.
- [2] V. V. Fedorcuk, Covariant functors in a category of compacta, absolute retracts and manifolds, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **36**, no. 3 (219), (1981), 177–195, 256 (in Russian).
- [3] J. Nagata, On pseudo-open mappings, *Mathematica Scandinavica*, **34**, no. 1, (1974), 55–68.
- [4] S. Lin, *Point-Countable Covers and Sequence-Covering Mappings*, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2002 (in Chinese).
- [5] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **71**, no. 2, (1951), 152–182.
- [6] Ivan Kaygorodov, Abror Khudoyberdiyev, Zarina Shermatova, Transposed Poisson structures on Virasoro-type algebras, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, **207**, (2025), 105356.
- [7] Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac, Farkhod G. Mukhamadiev, Anvar K. Sadullaev, Some classes of topological spaces and the space of G-permutation degree, *Georgian Math. J.*, (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj-2023-2080>
- [8] M. Koussour, S. Bekov, A. Syzdykova, S. Muminov, I. Ibragimov, J. Rayimbaev, Observational constraints on a generalized equation of state model, *Physics of the Dark*, **47**, (2025), 101799.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2024.101799>