International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Volume 20, Issue no. 2, (2025), 511–516 DOI: https://doi.org/10.69793/ijmcs/02.2025/mansur



Generalized Hamiltonian Transformations

Abdallah Mansur

Department of Mechanical Engineering Faculty of Engineering The Libyan Center for Engineering Research and Information Technology Bani Waleed, Libya

email: abmansur827@gmail.com

(Received December 31, 2024, Accepted January 30, 2025, Published January 31, 2025)

Abstract

In this article, we discuss the *G*-Hamiltonian system which is a generalization of the traditional Hamiltonian system in mechanics. Moreover, we demonstrate that when given a *G*-Hamiltonian system of differential equations, such as $\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$, the transformations that have this form $\frac{dy}{dt} = BA^{-1}By$ are also *G*-Hamiltonian. Furthermore, we discuss the *G*-Lagrangian structure which is a generalization of the traditional Lagrangian formulation in mechanics.

1 Introduction

In Mathematics, a Hamiltonian System is a system of differential equations which can be written in the form of Hamilton's equations. Hamiltonian Systems are usually formulated in terms of Hamiltonian vector fields on symplectic manifolds or Poisson manifolds. Many problems in engineering and physics lead to investigation of Hamiltonian systems of differential equations with periodic coefficients [4, 5]. As described in many books such as [1, 4, 5, 6, 7], a classical Hamiltonian system is typically represented by the

Key words and phrases: Hamiltonian Systems, Lagrange Equations. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 70H45, 70H46. ISSN 1814-0432, 2025, https://future-in-tech.net following set of differential equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = J\delta H(x),$$

where x represents the state vector of the system (e.g., position and momentum), H(x) is the Hamiltonian, a scalar function representing the total energy of the system which is typically the sum of the kinetic and potential energies, and J is a symplectic matrix, usually a block matrix of the form

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_k \\ I_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

The *G*-Hamiltonian system is a generalization of the traditional Hamiltonian system. A traditional Hamiltonian system is described by differential equations of the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$$

where x is a vector of state variables and A is a matrix representing the system's dynamics. In this generalization, the system is modified or "transformed" by introducing a matrix B and considering the structure of the system in a generalized Hamiltonian framework. The transformation leads to a new system:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = BAB^{-1}y$$

The system remains Hamiltonian, as suggested by the term "G-Hamiltonian" meaning that even after the transformation, the system retains certain key properties characteristic of Hamiltonian dynamics such as conserved quantities or symplectic structure [2]. The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we discuss some basic definitions and properties of the G-Hamiltonian system. In Section 3, we introduce the main result. In Section 4, we discuss the structure of the G-Lagrangian solutions.

2 Basic Definition and properties of G-Hamiltonian Systems

A natural way of studying Hamiltonian Systems is to linearize them about their periodic solutions and changing system coordinates to obtain new systems which are called G-Hamiltonian Systems. A G-Hamiltonian System was introduced in 1975 as a generalization of the well-known Hamiltonian

512

Generalized Hamiltonian Transformations

system [3]. We are interested in studying the G-Hamiltonian System which has the form

$$\dot{x} = GA(t)x, \tag{2.2}$$

where the matrix G is anti-symmetric and the matrix A is Hermitian (i.e., $G^T = -G, A^* = A$). We can easily see that a G-Hamiltonian matrix function M(t) = GA(t) is the coefficient matrix of a Hamiltonian equation in matrix notation $\dot{x} = M(t)x$. From now on, we consider the following definition:

Definition 2.1. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called *G*-Hamiltonian (or *G*-anti-Hermitian) if it satisfies the relation $A^G = -A$.

Each *G*-Hamiltonian *A* has the representation $A = i^{-1}GH$ with some $H = H^*$. For a G-Unitary matrix, we have $A^G = G^{-1}A^*G$, the set of all multipliers of *A* is symmetric with respect to the unit circle; i.e., together with any its eigenvalue ρ the matrix *A* has the eigenvalue $1/\bar{\rho}$. Similarly, if *A* is a *G*-Hamiltonian matrix, then $A = -G^{-1}A^*G$, and the set of all multipliers of *A* is symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis; i.e., if λ is an eigenvalue of *A*, then $-\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of *A* too.

Remark 2.2. Under the transformation x = By, system (1) becomes $\dot{y} = JB^{-1}ABy$. When $x_1 = By_1$, $x_2 = By_2$, the form (Gx_1, x_2) becomes $(GBy_1, By_2) = (B^*GBy_1, y_2)$ and the new form for G will denoted by $G' = B^*GB$.

3 The Main Result

Theorem 3.1. If the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is G-Hamiltonian, then, under the transformation x = By, the new system $\dot{y} = B^{-1}ABy$ is also a G-Hamiltonian system.

Proof. Since the new G form is given by $G' = B^*GB$, by assumption we have $A^G = -A$, where A^G is given in section (2). Let $M = B^{-1}AB$. The goal is to prove that $M^{G'} = -M$.

$$M^{G'} = G'^{-1}M^*G'$$

= $(B^*GB)^{-1}(B^{-1}AB)^*(B^*GB)$
= $B^{-1}G^{-1}(B^*)^{-1}B^*A^*(B^*)^{-1}B^*GB$
= $B^{-1}G^{-1}A^*GB$
= $B^{-1}A^GB$, $(A^G = G^{-1}A^*G = -A)$
= $-B^{-1}AB$
= $-M$.

A. Mansur

Theorem 3.2. The system $\dot{x} = GA(t)x$ is G-Hamiltonian under the following conditions:

1) The matrix A is Hermitian (i.e., $A^* = A$) 2) The matrix G is non-singular and anti-Hermitian matrix (i.e., det $G \neq 0$, $G^* = -G$).

Proof. Let M = GA(t). Set $G' = A^*GA$. To prove the system is G-Hamiltonian, we must show that $M^{G'} = -M$, where $M^{G'} = G'^{-1}M^*G'$,

$$M^{G'} = (GA)^{G'} = G'^{-1}(GA)^*G'$$

= $(A^*GA)^{-1}(GA)^*A^*GA$
= $A^{-1}G^{-1}(A^*)^{-1}A^*G^*A^*GA$
= $A^{-1}G^{-1}G^*A^*GA$
= $-GA$, $(A^* = A, G^* = -G)$
= $-M$.

4 Structure of the G-Lagrangian Solutions

Definition 4.1. For two smooth vector functions $X_1, X_2 : I \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we define the Poisson bracket of X_1, X_2 to be $\{X_1, X_2\}(t) = X_1^T(t)GX_2(t)$.

Definition 4.2. X_1, X_2 are said to be *G*-involution if $\{X_1, X_2\} \equiv 0$

Definition 4.3. A set of n linearly independent functions X_1, \ldots, X_n which are pairwise G-involution functions are said to be a G-Lagrangian set.

We now state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. If a G-Lagrangian set of solutions of the equation $\dot{x} = GA(t)x$ is given, then a complete set of 2n linearly independent solutions can be found by integration.

Proof. We have $\dot{x} = GA(t)x$, where A(t) is symmetric, G is anti-Symmetric and non-singular matrix. Let R(t) be the $2n \times n$ matrix whose columns are the *n*-linearly independent solutions. If R(t) is a G-Lagrangian solutions, then

Generalized Hamiltonian Transformations

we can construct the full fundamental matrix solution, since the columns are solutions so that $\dot{R} = GAR$ and they are G-involution (i.e., $R^TGR = 0$). As a special case assume that $G^2 = -CI$, where C means constant. We define a $2n \times n$ matrix by $D_{2n \times n} = GR(R^TR)^{-1}$. Then

$$D^T G D = 0,$$
 $R^T G D = -CI,$ $(C = Constant).$

If K = (D, R), then K must satisfy the following condition: $K^T G K = C J$, and hence

$$K^{-1} = \frac{1}{C} J^{-1} K^T G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{C} \\ \frac{1}{C} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D^T G \\ R^T G \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{C} \begin{pmatrix} -R^T G \\ D^T G \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, change coordinates by $x = K\zeta$ so that $\dot{x} = \dot{K}\zeta + K\dot{\zeta}$,

$$\begin{split} \dot{\zeta} &= K^{-1}(GAK - \dot{K})\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \begin{pmatrix} -R^T G \\ D^T G \end{pmatrix} (GAD - \dot{D}, GAR - \dot{R})\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \begin{pmatrix} -R^T G^2 AD + R^T G \dot{D} & -R^T G^2 AR + R^T G \dot{R} \\ D^T G^2 AD - D^T G \dot{D} & D^T G^2 AR - D^T G \dot{R} \end{pmatrix} \zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ D^T G^2 AD - D^T G \dot{D} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \zeta \end{split}$$

Due to the fact that $\dot{R} = GAR$, the second column in the above matrix is zero. The one in the upper left-hand corner is also zero, which can be easily seen by differentiating the fact that $R^T GD = -CI$. Therefore, $\dot{v}_1 = 0$, and $\dot{v}_2 = \frac{D^T}{C} (G^2 AD - G\dot{D}) v_1$,

$$\zeta = \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{array}\right),$$

which has a general solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_1 &= v_{10}, \\
 v_2 &= v_{20} + L v_{10},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = \frac{1}{C} \int_{t_0}^t D^T (G^2 A D + G \dot{D}) dt.$$

Therefore, a symplectic fundamental matrix solution is

$$X = (D + RL, R).$$

As a result, the complete set of solutions is obtained by integration.

5 Conclusion

The generalization of the Hamiltonian system to the G-Hamiltonian system provides a more flexible and robust framework for studying dynamical systems. By extending the classical Hamiltonian mechanics, the G-Hamiltonian system introduces transformations that preserve the core properties of the original Hamiltonian. In this paper, we showed that, given systems of G-Hamiltonian equations, the transformations are also G-Hamiltonian provided that the matrix inverse exists.

References

- Martin C. Gutzwiller, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics, Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, Volume 1, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [2] I.M. Gel'fand, V.B. Lidskii, On the Structure of the Regions of Stability of Linear Canonical Systems of Differential Equations with Periodic Coefficients, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans., 2, no. 8, (1958), 143–181.
- [3] V.A. Yakubovich, V.M. Starzhinskii, Linear Differential Equations with Periodic Coefficients, Volume 1, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [4] K.R. Meyer, G.R. Hall, Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and the N-body problem, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 90, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [5] Carmen Chiconr, Ordinary Differential Equations with Applications, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [6] I. Ekeland, Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1990.
- [7] Jerrold E. Marsden, Tudor S. Ratiu, Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry, Second edition, Springer, New York, 1999.