

Bounds on Coefficients for a Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions with Lucas-Balancing Polynomials and Ruscheweyh Derivative Operator

Abdulmtalb Hussen¹, Moamar M. Alamari²

¹School of Engineering, Math., & Technology
Navajo Technical University
Crownpoint, NM 87313, USA

²Higher Institution of Engineering Technology
Zliten, Libya

email: ahussen@navajotech.edu

(Received April 16, 2024, Accepted May 21, 2024,
Published June 1, 2024)

Abstract

In this paper, we present a novel subclass of bi-univalent functions, which are connected with both the Ruscheweyh derivative operator and Lucas-Balancing polynomials. We establish bounds for the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin series for these functions, as well as the Fekete-Szegő inequality. Additionally, through parameter allocation in our primary discoveries, we unveil several fresh results.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} represent the class of functions f of the form

$$f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n, \quad (1.1)$$

Key words and phrases: Lucas-Balancing polynomials, bi-univalent functions, analytic functions, Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients, Fekete-Szegő functional, Ruscheweyh derivative operator.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 30C45.

The corresponding author is Abdulmtalb Hussen.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2024, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\xi| < 1\}$, and additionally satisfy the normalization conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$.

Given two functions f and g belonging to the class \mathcal{A} , we say that $f(\xi)$ is subordinate to $g(\xi)$ in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} , denoted as $f(\xi) \prec g(\xi)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $h(\xi)$, which is analytic in \mathbb{U} , satisfying the conditions $h(0) = 0$ and $|h(\xi)| < 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$, such that $f(\xi) = g(h(\xi))$ holds for all $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$. Moreover, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the following equivalence holds (referenced as [1]):

$$f(\xi) \prec g(\xi) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that are univalent within \mathbb{U} . According to the Koebe one-quarter theorem [2], for every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists an inverse function f^{-1} such that:

$$f^{-1}(f(\xi)) = \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad |w| < r_0(f), \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both f and its inverse $g = f^{-1}$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let σ denote the set of such bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , as defined by equation (1.1). Recent studies have introduced various subclasses of σ , aiming to establish bounds for the first two coefficients, $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$, in the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion, as well as in the Fekete-Szegő inequality (see [3–13]).

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(\xi)$ and $l(\xi)$, denoted as $f(\xi) * l(\xi)$, can be expressed mathematically as:

$$(f * l)(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n \xi^n = (l * f)(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $l(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \xi^n$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{U} .

Definition 1.1. [14] Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ denote a function defined by equation (1.1). The Ruscheweyh derivative operator $\mathcal{R}^\ell : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi) = \frac{\xi (\xi^{\ell-1} f(\xi))^{(\ell)}}{\ell!} = \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\ell+1}} * f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\ell+n)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\ell+1)} a_n \xi^n, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$.

Behera and Panda [15] recently introduced a novel integer sequence known as Balancing numbers. These numbers are generated by the recurrence relation $B_{n+1} = 6B_n - B_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, with initial values $B_0 = 0$ and $B_1 = 1$. This introduction has sparked significant interest among researchers, leading to the exploration of various generalizations. For comprehensive insights into Lucas-Balancing numbers and their extensions, refer to the works cited in [16–24]. Among these extensions, one notable example is the Lucas Balancing polynomial, which is recursively defined as follows:

Definition 1.2. [25] For every complex number t and integer $n \geq 2$, Lucas-Balancing polynomials are recursively defined as such:

$$C_n(t) = 6tC_{n-1}(t) - C_{n-2}(t), \tag{1.4}$$

where the initial conditions are given by:

$$C_0(t) = 1, \quad C_1(t) = 3t. \tag{1.5}$$

By employing the recurrence relation (1.4), we can derive the subsequent expressions:

$$C_2(t) = 18t^2 - 1 \quad C_3(t) = 108t^3 - 9t. \tag{1.6}$$

Lucas-Balancing polynomials, similar to other number polynomials, can be obtained using specific generating functions. An example of such a generating function is represented as follows:

Lemma 1.3. [25] The generating function for Balancing polynomials can be represented as

$$\mathcal{B}(t, \xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(t) \xi^n = \frac{1 - 3t\xi}{1 - 6t\xi + \xi^2}, \tag{1.7}$$

where t is within the range $[-1, 1]$, and ξ is in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} .

2 Coefficient Bounds of the Class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$

Definition 2.1. Let $f \in \sigma$ be given by (1.1), with $\alpha, \mu \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. We say f is in the class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$ if the following subordinations are satisfied:

$$(1 - \alpha + 2\mu) \frac{\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi)}{\xi} + (\alpha - 2\mu) (\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi))' + \mu \xi (\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi))'' \prec \mathcal{B}(t, \xi) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha + 2\mu) \frac{\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w)}{w} + (\alpha - 2\mu) (\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w))' + \mu w (\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w))'' \prec \mathcal{B}(t, w), \quad (2.9)$$

where the function $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ is defined by (1.2) and $\mathcal{B}(t, \xi)$ represents the generating function of the Lucas-Balancing polynomials as given by equation (1.7).

Example 2.1. Let $f \in \sigma$ be a bi-univalent function. It is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, 0, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$ if the following subordination conditions hold:

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi)}{\xi} + \alpha (\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi))' \prec \mathcal{B}(t, \xi) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w)}{w} + \alpha (\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w))' \prec \mathcal{B}(t, w), \quad (2.11)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.2).

Example 2.2. Let $f \in \sigma$ be a bi-univalent function. It is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(1, 0, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$, if the following subordination conditions hold:

$$(\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi))' \prec \mathcal{B}(t, \xi) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w))' \prec \mathcal{B}(t, w), \quad (2.13)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.2).

Lemma 2.2. [2] Let Ω be the class of all analytic functions, and let $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\omega(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \xi^n$, $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$. Then,

$$|\omega_1| \leq 1, \quad |\omega_n| \leq 1 - |\omega_1|^2 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in \sigma$ of the form (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{3t\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1)\sqrt{3t\Gamma(3)}}{\sqrt{|9t^2\Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)(\Gamma(2))^2(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu) - (18t^2 - 1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2(1 + \alpha)^2|}}, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{27t^3\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2}{|9t^2\Gamma(\ell + 1)\Gamma(\ell + 3)(\Gamma(2))^2(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu) - (18t^2 - 1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2(1 + \alpha)^2|} + \frac{3t\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2}{\Gamma(\ell + 3)(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$ for some $0 \leq \alpha, \mu \leq 1$, and from (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$(1 - \alpha + 2\mu)\frac{\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi)}{\xi} + (\alpha - 2\mu)(\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi))' + \mu\xi(\mathcal{R}^\ell f(\xi))'' = \mathcal{B}(t, \xi) \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha + 2\mu)\frac{\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w)}{w} + (\alpha - 2\mu)(\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w))' + \mu w(\mathcal{R}^\ell g(w))'' = \mathcal{B}(t, w), \tag{2.17}$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ and $u, v \in \Omega$ are given to be of the form

$$u(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \xi^n \quad \text{and} \quad v(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w^n.$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|c_n| \leq 1 \text{ and } |d_n| \leq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.18)$$

Upon substituting the definition of $\mathcal{B}(t, \xi)$ from (1.7) into the right-hand sides of equations (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(t, u(\xi)) &= 1 + C_1(t)c_1\xi + [C_1(t)c_2 + C_2(t)c_1^2] \xi^2 \\ &\quad + [C_1(t)c_3 + 2C_2(t)c_1c_2 + C_3(t)c_1^3] \xi^3 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(t, v(w)) &= 1 + C_1(t)d_1w + [C_1(t)d_2 + C_2(t)d_1^2] w^2 \\ &\quad + [C_1(t)d_3 + 2C_2(t)d_1d_2 + C_3(t)d_1^3] w^3 + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore, equations (2.16) and (2.17) become

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + \frac{\Gamma(\ell+2)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1)}(1+\alpha)a_2\xi + \frac{\Gamma(\ell+3)}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}(1+2\alpha+2\mu)a_3\xi^2 \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(\ell+4)}{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(\ell+1)}(1+3\alpha+6\mu)a_4\xi^3 + \dots \\ &= 1 + C_1(t)c_1\xi + [C_1(t)c_2 + C_2(t)c_1^2] \xi^2 + [C_1(t)c_3 + 2C_2(t)c_1c_2 + C_3(t)c_1^3] \xi^3 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - \frac{\Gamma(\ell+2)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1)}(1+\alpha)a_2w + \frac{\Gamma(\ell+3)}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)} \left[2(1+2\alpha+2\mu)a_2^2 - (1+2\alpha+2\mu)a_3 \right] w^2 \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(\ell+4)}{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(\ell+1)} \left[(20(2\mu-\alpha) - 5(2\mu-\alpha+1) - 60\mu) a_2^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-20(2\mu-\alpha) + 5(2\mu-\alpha+1) + 60\mu) a_2a_3 + (-6\mu - 3\alpha - 1) a_4 \right] w^3 + \dots \\ &= 1 + C_1(t)d_1w + [C_1(t)d_2 + C_2(t)d_1^2] w^2 + [C_1(t)d_3 + 2C_2(t)d_1d_2 + C_3(t)d_1^3] w^3 + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Upon equating the coefficients in equations (2.21) and (2.22), we obtain:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\ell + 2)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1)}(1 + \alpha)a_2 = C_1(t)c_1, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\ell + 3)}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)}(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)a_3 = C_1(t)c_2 + C_2(t)c_1^2, \tag{2.24}$$

$$-\frac{\Gamma(\ell + 2)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1)}(1 + \alpha)a_2 = C_1(t)d_1, \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma(\ell + 3)}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)} \left[2(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)a_2^2 - (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)a_3 \right] = C_1(t)d_2 + C_2(t)d_1^2. \tag{2.26}$$

By employing equations (2.23) and (2.25), we deduce the subsequent expressions:

$$c_1 = -d_1 \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$c_1^2 + d_1^2 = \frac{2(\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2(1 + \alpha)^2a_2^2}{(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2(C_1(t))^2}. \tag{2.28}$$

Moreover, employing equations (2.24), (2.26), and (2.28) yields:

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2 (C_1(t))^3 (c_2 + d_2)}{2 \left[\Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)(C_1(t))^2 - \Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2 (1 + \alpha)^2 C_2(t) \right]}. \tag{2.29}$$

By employing Lemma 2.2 and analyzing equations (2.23) and (2.27), we have

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2 |C_1(t)|^3}{\left| \Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)(C_1(t))^2 - \Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2 (1 + \alpha)^2 C_2(t) \right|}, \tag{2.30}$$

therefore

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1) |C_1(t)| \sqrt{\Gamma(3) |C_1(t)|}}{\sqrt{\left| \Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)(C_1(t))^2 - \Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2 (1 + \alpha)^2 C_2(t) \right|}}. \tag{2.31}$$

Substituting $C_1(t)$ and $C_2(t)$, as given in equations (1.5) and (1.6) respectively, into equation (2.31) yields the subsequent expression,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{3t\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1)\sqrt{3t\Gamma(3)}}{\sqrt{|9t^2\Gamma(\ell+3)\Gamma(\ell+1)(\Gamma(2))^2(1+2\alpha+2\mu) - (18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2(1+\alpha)^2|}}$$

Subtracting equation (2.26) from equation (2.24) yields:

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)C_1(t)(c_2-d_2)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)}. \quad (2.32)$$

As a result, this leads to the subsequent inequality:

$$|a_3| \leq |a_2|^2 + \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)|C_1(t)||c_2-d_2|}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)}. \quad (2.33)$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 and employing equations (1.5) and (1.6), we derive:

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{27t^3\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1))^2}{|9t^2\Gamma(\ell+1)\Gamma(\ell+3)(\Gamma(2))^2(1+2\alpha+2\mu) - (18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2(1+\alpha)^2|} + \frac{3t\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+1))^2}{\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)}. \quad (2.34)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is now complete. \square

3 Fekete–Szegő Functional Estimations of the Class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$

In this section, utilizing the values of a_2^2 and a_3 aids in deriving the Fekete–Szegő inequality applicable to functions within the domain of $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \sigma$ given by the form (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$. Then*

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3t\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |h(\eta)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)} \\ 6t|h(\eta)| & \text{if } |h(\eta)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(\eta) = \frac{9t^2\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2 (1 - \eta)}{2 [9t^2\Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu) - (18t^2 - 1)\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2 (1 + \alpha)^2]}.$$

Proof. Equations (2.29) and (2.32) yield

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \eta a_2^2 &= a_2^2 + \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)C_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2\Gamma(\ell + 3)(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)} - \eta a_2^2 \\ &= (1 - \eta)a_2^2 + \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)C_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2\Gamma(\ell + 3)(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)} \\ &= (1 - \eta) \frac{\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2 (C_1(t))^3 (c_2 + d_2)}{2 [\Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)(C_1(t))^2 - \Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2 (1 + \alpha)^2 C_2(t)]} \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)C_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2\Gamma(\ell + 3)(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)} \\ &= (C_1(t)) \left(\left[h(\eta) + \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)}{2\Gamma(\ell + 3)(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)} \right] c_2 + \left[h(\eta) - \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell + 1)}{2\Gamma(\ell + 3)(1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)} \right] d_2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\eta) = \frac{\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2 (C_1(t))^2 (1 - \eta)}{2 [\Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 (1 + 2\alpha + 2\mu)(C_1(t))^2 - \Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2 (1 + \alpha)^2 C_2(t)]}.$$

By considering equations (1.5) and (1.6), and applying equation (2.18), we can conclude that

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3t\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |h(\eta)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)} \\ 6t|h(\eta)| & \text{if } |h(\eta)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha+2\mu)}. \end{cases}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f \in \sigma$ given by the form (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, 0, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{3t\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1)\sqrt{3t\Gamma(3)}}{\sqrt{|9t^2\Gamma(\ell+3)\Gamma(\ell+1)(\Gamma(2))^2(1+2\alpha) - (18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2(1+\alpha)^2|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{27t^3\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1))^2}{|9t^2\Gamma(\ell+1)\Gamma(\ell+3)(\Gamma(2))^2(1+2\alpha) - (18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2(1+\alpha)^2|} + \frac{3t\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+1))^2}{\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha)},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3t\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |h_1(\eta)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha)} \\ 6t|h_1(\eta)| & \text{if } |h_1(\eta)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{2\Gamma(\ell+3)(1+2\alpha)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h_1(\eta) = \frac{9t^2\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1))^2(1-\eta)}{2[9t^2\Gamma(\ell+3)\Gamma(\ell+1)(\Gamma(2))^2(1+2\alpha) - (18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2(1+\alpha)^2]}.$$

Corollary 3.3. Let $f \in \sigma$ given by the form (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(1, 0, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{3t\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1)\sqrt{3t\Gamma(3)}}{\sqrt{|27t^2\Gamma(\ell+3)\Gamma(\ell+1)(\Gamma(2))^2 - 4(18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{27t^3\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell+1))^2}{|27t^2\Gamma(\ell+1)\Gamma(\ell+3)(\Gamma(2))^2 - 4(18t^2-1)\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+2))^2|} + \frac{t\Gamma(3)(\Gamma(\ell+1))^2}{\Gamma(\ell+3)},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{t\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell+3)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |h_2(\eta)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{6\Gamma(\ell+3)} \\ 6t|h_2(\eta)| & \text{if } |h_2(\eta)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\ell+1)}{6\Gamma(\ell+3)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h_2(\eta) = \frac{9t^2\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\ell + 1))^2 (1 - \eta)}{2 [27t^2\Gamma(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + 1) (\Gamma(2))^2 - 4(18t^2 - 1)\Gamma(3) (\Gamma(\ell + 2))^2]}.$$

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have introduced and explored a new subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions denoted as $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\alpha, \mu, \mathcal{B}(t, \xi))$, which are linked to Lucas-Balancing Polynomials and the Ruscheweyh derivative operator. Our investigation focuses on initial estimates of Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. Moreover, using a_2^2 and a_3 , we establish Fekete-Szegö inequalities for functions in this subclass. Moreover, By specializing parameters, we established connections between subclass, Lucas-Balancing Polynomials and Ruscheweyh derivative operator, deriving estimates for Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients and exploring Fekete-Szegö inequalities.

References

- [1] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations: theory and applications, CRC Press, 2000.
- [2] P.L. Duren, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Univalent Functions; Springer, New York, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1983.
- [3] A. Hussen, M. Illafe, Coefficient Bounds for a Certain Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with Lucas-Balancing Polynomials, Mathematics, **11**, no. 24, (2023), 4941.
- [4] A. Hussen, A. Zeyani, Coefficients and Fekete-Szegö Functional Estimations of Bi-Univalent Subclasses Based on Gegenbauer Polynomials, Mathematics, **11**, no. 13, (2023), 2852.
- [5] F. Yousef, S. Alroud, M. Illafe, A comprehensive subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, **26**, (2020), 329–339.

- [6] M. Illafe, A. Amourah, M. Haji Mohd, Coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szegö functional inequalities for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Axioms*, **11**, no. 4 (2022), 147.
- [7] M. Illafe, F. Yousef, M. Haji Mohd, S. Supramaniam, Initial Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szegö Inequality Problem for a General Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined by Subordination, *Axioms*, **12**, no. 3 (2023), 235.
- [8] F. Yousef, B.A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary, Fekete-Szegö inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Chebyshev polynomials, *Filomat*, **32**, (2018), 3229–3236.
- [9] F. Yousef, S. Alroud, M. Illafe, New subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions endowed with coefficient estimate problems, *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, **11**, (2021), 1–12.
- [10] F. Yousef, A. Amourah, B.A. Frasin, T. Bulboacă, An avant-Garde construction for subclasses of analytic bi-univalent functions, *Axioms*, **11**, no. 6 (2022), 267.
- [11] İ. Aktaş, and İ. Karaman, On some new subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Balancing polynomials, *Karamanoglu Mehmetbey Universitesi Muhendislik ve Doga Bilimleri Dergisi*, **5**, no. 1, (2023), 25–32.
- [12] A. Amourah, B.A. Frasin, M. Ahmad, F. Yousef, Exploiting the Pascal distribution series and Gegenbauer polynomials to construct and study a new subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions, *Symmetry*, **14**, no. 1, (2022), 147.
- [13] P.O. Sabir, Some remarks for subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative operator, *Filomat*, **38**, no. 4, (2024), 1255–1264.
- [14] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **49**, no. 1, (1975), 109–115.
- [15] A. Behera, G.K. Panda, On the square roots of triangular numbers, *Fibonacci Quarterly*, **37**, (1999), 98–105.
- [16] R.K. Davala, G.K. Panda, On sum and ratio formulas for balancing numbers, *Journal of the Ind. Math. Soc.*, **82**, nos. 1-2, (2015), 23–32.

- [17] Robert Frontczak, A note on hybrid convolutions involving balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, **12**, no. 25, (2018), 2001–2008.
- [18] R. Frontczak, Sums of balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers with binomial coefficients, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, **12**, no. 12, (2018), 585–594.
- [19] T. Komatsu, G. K. Panda, On several kinds of sums of balancing numbers, arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05918, (2016).
- [20] Gopal Krishna Panda, Takao Komatsu, Ravi Kumar Davala, Reciprocal sums of sequences involving balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers, *Math. Rep.*, **20**, no. 70, (2018), 201–214.
- [21] B.K. Patel, N. Irmak, P.K. Ray, Incomplete balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers, *Math. Rep.*, **20**, no. 70, (2018), 59–72.
- [22] P.K. Ray, J. Sahu, Generating functions for certain balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **5**, no. 2, (2016), 122–129.
- [23] P.K. Ray, Balancing and Lucas-balancing sums by matrix methods, *Mathematical Reports*, **17**, no. 2, (2015), 225–233.
- [24] A. Berczes, K. Liptai, I. Pink, On generalized balancing sequences, *Fibonacci Quart.*, **48**, no. 2, (2010), 121–128.
- [25] R. Frontczak, On balancing polynomials, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, **13**, no. 2, (2019), 57–66.