

# $r$ -Ideals of Commutative Ordered Semigroups

Panuwat Luangchaisri, Thawhat Changphas

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Khon Kaen University  
Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

email: panulu@kku.ac.th, thacha@kku.ac.th

(Received November 2, 2023, Accepted December 13, 2023,  
Published February 12, 2024)

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate  $r$ -ideals in commutative ordered semigroups with zero and identity. Moreover, we study some properties of  $r$ -ideals. Furthermore, we give several characterizations of  $r$ -ideals.

## 1 Introduction

Mohamadian [4] introduced the concept of  $r$ -ideals in commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ , investigated the behavior of  $r$ -ideals, and compared them with other classical ideals such as prime and maximal ideals. In [5], the authors considered  $r$ -ideals in commutative semigroups with zero  $0$  and identity  $1$  such that  $1 \neq 0$ . Some properties of  $r$ -ideals were studied and various characterizations of  $r$ -ideals were given. In this paper,  $r$ -ideals in commutative ordered semigroups with  $1 \neq 0$  are investigated; some properties of  $r$ -ideals are studied, and several characterizations of  $r$ -ideals are given.

An *ordered semigroup*  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  together with a partial order  $\leq$  that is compatible with the semigroup operation, meaning that, for any  $x, y, z \in S$ , if  $x \leq y$ , then  $zx \leq zy$  and  $xz \leq yz$ . An element  $0$  in  $S$  is called a *zero* (of  $S$ ) if for any  $x \in S$ ,  $0x = x0 = 0$  and  $0 \leq x$ , and an element  $1$  in  $S$  is called an *identity* (of  $S$ ) if for any  $x \in S$ ,  $1x = x1 = x$ .

---

**Key words and phrases:** commutative ordered semigroup, ideal, prime ideal, annihilator,  $r$ -ideal.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 06F05, 20M20.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2024, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

An ordered semigroup  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is said to be *commutative* if for any  $x, y \in S$ ,  $xy = yx$ .

Hereafter, we let  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  be a commutative ordered semigroups with zero 0 and identity 1 such that  $1 \neq 0$ .

For non-empty subsets  $A, B$  of  $S$ , define

$$AB = \{xy \mid x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}, \quad (A] = \{y \in S \mid y \leq x \text{ for some } x \in A\}.$$

Observe that  $A \subseteq (A]$ ,  $((A]) = (A]$ , and  $(A](B] \subseteq (AB]$ . A non-empty subset  $I$  of  $S$  is called an *ideal* (of  $S$ ) if  $IS \subseteq I$  (i.e.,  $xy \in I$ , for all  $x \in I$  and  $y \in S$ ); and  $I = (I]$  (i.e., for any  $x \in I$  and  $y \in S$  if  $y \leq x$ , then  $y \in I$ ). An ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is said to be *proper* if  $I \neq S$ . Observe that, for any  $x \in S$ ,  $(xS]$  is an ideal of  $S$ . Suppose that  $T$  is a non-empty subset of  $S$ . Then

$$(I : T) = \{s \in S \mid st \in I \text{ for every } t \in T\}$$

is an ideal of  $S$ . In particular, we write  $(I : a)$  instead of  $(I : \{a\})$ . Furthermore, we write  $(0 : a)$  by  $\text{ann}(a)$ . Any element  $a$  of  $S$  is a *zero divisor* if  $\text{ann}(a) = \{s \in S \mid sa = 0\} \neq 0$ , otherwise  $a$  is a *regular element*. The set of all zero divisors in  $S$  will be written by  $\text{zd}(S)$ , and the set of all regular elements in  $S$  will be written by  $r(S)$ . The *localization* of  $S$  at  $r(S)$ , written by  $Q(S)$ , is defined by:

$$Q(S) = \left\{ \frac{x}{s} \mid x \in S, s \in r(S) \right\}$$

where  $\frac{x}{s} = \frac{x'}{s'} \Leftrightarrow us'x = usx'$  for some  $u \in r(S)$ . The natural homomorphism is the mapping  $\pi : S \rightarrow Q(S)$  defined by  $\pi(x) = \frac{x}{1}$  for every  $x \in S$ . If  $J$  is an ideal in  $Q(S)$ , then  $J^c = \pi^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal of  $S$ , and if  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$  then the set  $I^e = \left\{ \frac{a}{s} \mid a \in I, s \in r(S) \right\}$  is an ideal of  $Q(S)$ .

## 2 Results

We begin this section with the definition of  $r$ -ideals of a commutative ordered semigroup with zero 0 and identity 1 such that  $0 \neq 1$  as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  be a commutative ordered semigroup with zero 0 and identity 1 such that  $0 \neq 1$ . A proper ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is called an  $r$ -ideal (of  $S$ ) if for any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $ab \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$  imply  $b \in I$ .

Observe that the zero ideal of  $S$  is the  $k$ -ideal. Consider the multiplicative ordered semigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \cdot, =)$  of integers modulo 6. Let  $I$  be a nonzero proper

ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Let  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  be such that  $\bar{a}\bar{b} \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(\bar{a}) = \bar{0}$ . Thus,  $a$  and  $6$  are relatively prime and so  $\bar{a}$  has an inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , so that  $\bar{b} = (\bar{a})^{-1}(\bar{a}\bar{b}) \in I$ . Then, every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is an *r*-ideal.

A proper ideal  $P$  of  $S$  is said to be *prime* if for any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $ab \in P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . Observe that the notion of prime ideals and *r*-ideals are different. Indeed, the zero ideal is an *r*-ideal but not necessarily prime. For example, the zero ideal  $\{0\}$  is not a prime ideal in the multiplicative ordered semigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \cdot, =)$ , because  $\bar{2}\bar{3} = \bar{0} \in \{0\}$  but  $\bar{2} \notin \{0\}$  and  $\bar{3} \notin \{0\}$ . Also, every prime ideal need not be an *r*-ideal. To illustrate this, consider the multiplicative ordered semigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot, =)$  and the ideal  $I = 3\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $I$  is prime but not an *r*-ideal since  $(3)(1) = 3 \in I$  with  $\text{ann}(3) = 0$  but  $1 \notin I$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $I$  is an *r*-ideal of  $S$ , then  $I \subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $I$  is an *r*-ideal of  $S$  and  $I \not\subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ . From  $I \not\subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ , there exists an element  $a \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Since  $1a = a \in I$  and  $I$  is an *r*-ideal, we have  $1 \in I$ ; so  $I = S$ . It is a contradiction. Hence  $I \subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ . □

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\{I_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a non-empty set of *r*-ideals of  $S$ . Then the union and the intersection of  $\{I_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  are *r*-ideals of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Then,  $ab \in I_{\alpha_0}$  for some  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$ . Since  $I_{\alpha_0}$  is an *r*-ideal, we have  $b \in I_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$ . For  $\cap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$  is an *r*-ideal can be proved similarly. □

Observe that a proper ideal  $P$  of  $S$  is prime if and only if  $P = (P : a)$  for every  $a \notin P$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $S$ . The following are equivalent:*

1. *I* is an *r*-ideal.
2.  $I = (I : r)$  for each  $r \in r(S)$ .
3.  $I = J^c$  for some ideal  $J$  of  $Q(S)$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose  $I$  is an *r*-ideal. Let  $r \in r(S)$ . Clearly,  $I \subseteq (I : r)$ . Let  $x \in (I : r)$ ; then  $rx \in I$ . By assumption, we have  $x \in I$ . Consequently,  $I = (I : r)$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . By (2),  $b \in (I : a) = I$ . Therefore,  $I$  is an *r*-ideal.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): It is easy to see that  $I \subseteq I^{ec}$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $S$ . Assume  $I$  is an *r*-ideal and  $a \in I^{ec}$ . Then  $\frac{a}{1} \in I^e$ ; so that  $\frac{a}{1} = \frac{x}{s}$  for some  $x \in I$  and

$s \in r(S)$ . Thus there exists an element  $r \in r(S)$  such that  $(rs)a = rx \in I$ . Since  $\text{ann}(rs) = 0$ , we have  $a \in I$ . Consequently,  $I = I^{ec}$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose  $I = J^c$  where  $J$  is an ideal of  $Q(S)$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $\frac{1}{a} \in Q(S)$  and  $\frac{ab}{1} \in J$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{a}(\frac{ab}{1}) = \frac{b}{1} \in J$ , and so  $b \in I = J^c$ .  $\square$

We call  $S$  an *r-po-semigroup* if for any  $a, b, c \in S$ ,  $0 < ab \leq ac$  implies that  $b \leq uc$  for some unit  $u \in S$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is said to be *pure* if for  $x \in I$  there exists  $y \in I$  such that  $x \leq yx$ , see [2]. An ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is said to be *regular* if for  $x \in I$  there exists  $y \in I$  such that  $x \leq xyx$ , see [3].

**Proposition 2.5.** *Every pure ideals and regular ideals of an r-po-semigroup  $S$  are r-ideals.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a pure ideal of an *r-po-semigroup*  $S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . If  $ab = 0$ , then  $b = 0 \in I$ . Assume  $ab \neq 0$ . Since  $I$  is pure, we have  $ab \leq (ab)c$  for some  $c \in I$ . Then  $b \leq u(bc)$  for some unit  $u \in S$ , because  $S$  is an *r-po-semigroup*. Since  $b \leq u(bc) \in I$ , we have  $b \in I$ . Similarly, we have that every regular ideals of an *r-po-semigroup*  $S$  are *r-ideals*.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $S$ . Then  $I$  is an r-ideal if and only if for ideals  $J, K$  of  $S$ ,  $J \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$  and  $JK \subseteq I$  imply  $K \subseteq I$ .*

*Proof.* Assume for ideals  $J, K$  of  $S$ , if  $J \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$  and  $JK \subseteq I$ , then  $K \subseteq I$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in I$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Setting  $J = (aS]$  and  $K = (bS]$ . Then  $J \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$  and

$$JK = (aS](bS] \subseteq (aSbS] = (abSS] \subseteq (abS] \subseteq (I) = I.$$

By assumption,  $K \subseteq I$  and so  $b \in I$ . Conversely, assume  $I$  is an *r-ideal* and let  $J, K$  be ideals of  $S$  such that  $JK \subseteq I$  and  $J \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $J \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an element  $a \in J$  such that  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Note that  $aK \subseteq JK \subseteq I$ . Since  $I$  is an *r-ideal* and Theorem 2.4, we conclude that  $K \subseteq (I : a) = I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $I, J$  be ideals of  $S$  such that  $I \subseteq J$ . If  $I$  is an r-ideal of  $S$  and  $J/I$  is an r-ideal of  $S/I$ , then  $J$  is an r-ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $I$  is an *r-ideal* of  $S$  and  $J/I$  is an *r-ideal* of  $S/I$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in J$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . We have  $(aI)(bI) = (ab)I \in J/I$ . To prove that  $\text{ann}(aI) = 0_{S/I}$ , let  $r \in S$  be such that  $(rI)(aI) = 0_{S/I}$ . Then

$(ra)I = 0_{S/I}$ . This implies  $ra \in I$ . Since  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ , we have  $r \in I$ , so that  $\text{ann}(aI) = 0_{S/I}$ . Since  $J/I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S/I$ , we get  $bI \in J/I$ . Hence,  $b \in J$ . Consequently,  $J$  is an  $r$ -ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$ . Then  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal if and only if  $(I : T)$  is an  $r$ -ideal for any  $\emptyset \neq T \not\subseteq I$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal. Let  $\emptyset \neq T \not\subseteq I$ ; then  $(I : T) \neq S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in (I : T)$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Then,  $abT \subseteq I$ . By assumption,  $bT \subseteq I$ . So that  $b \in (I : T)$ , and hence  $(I : T)$  is an  $r$ -ideal. Conversely, suppose  $I$  is not an  $r$ -ideal. Then there exist elements  $a, b \in S$  such that  $ab \in I$  with  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ , but  $b \notin I$ . Setting  $T = \{b\}$ ; then  $T \not\subseteq I$ . Note that  $(I : T) \neq S$ . Since  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ , we have  $a \notin \text{zd}(S)$ . Since  $ab \in I$ , we have  $a \in (I : T)$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $(I : T)$  is not an  $r$ -ideal.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$  with  $I \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $I \cap J = I \cap K$ , where  $J$  and  $K$  are  $r$ -ideals of  $S$ , implies that  $J = K$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $J, K$  are  $r$ -ideals and  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$  such that  $I \cap J = I \cap K$  with  $I \cap r(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J = I \cap K \subseteq K$ ,  $K$  is an  $r$ -ideal, and Theorem 2.6, we have  $J \subseteq K$ . Similarly, we have  $K \subseteq J$ , and so  $K = J$ .  $\square$

We call  $S$  an *uz-po-semigroup* if all elements in  $S$  is either unit or zero divisor.

**Proposition 2.10.** *The following are equivalent:*

1.  $S$  is an *uz-po-semigroup*.
2. Every proper principal ideal is an  $r$ -ideal.
3. Every proper ideal is an  $r$ -ideal.
4. Every prime ideal is an  $r$ -ideal.
5. Every maximal ideal is an  $r$ -ideal.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose  $I$  is a proper principal ideal of  $S$ . Let  $ab \in I$  with  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . By (1),  $a$  is unit, and so  $b = a^{-1}(ab) \in I$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Assume  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $S$  and  $ab \in I$  with  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Note that  $\langle ab \rangle \neq S$ . Since  $ab \in \langle ab \rangle$  and  $\langle ab \rangle$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ , we conclude that  $b \in \langle ab \rangle \subseteq I$ . Hence  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5): It is clear.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose every maximal ideal is an  $r$ -ideal. Let  $a$  be a nonunit element of  $S$ . Then there exists a maximal ideal  $M$  containing  $a$ . It follows by Proposition 2.2 that  $a \in M \subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ . Hence  $S$  is an *uz-po-semigroup*.  $\square$

Suppose  $S_1, S_2$  are ordered semigroups with zero and identity. For  $a_1, b_1 \in S_1, a_2, b_2 \in S_2$ , define the multiplication on  $S_1 \times S_2$  by  $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2)$ , and the partial order  $\leq$  on  $S_1 \times S_2$  by  $(a_1, a_2) \leq (b_1, b_2) \Leftrightarrow a_1 \leq b_1$  and  $a_2 \leq b_2$ . Then  $S_1 \times S_2$  becomes an ordered semigroup with zero and identity.

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $S_1, S_2$  be ordered semigroups with zero and identity and  $S = S_1 \times S_2$ . Suppose that  $I = I_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_1$  is an ideal of  $S_1$  and  $I_2$  is an ideal of  $S_2$ . Then  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$  if and only if  $I_1$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_1$  and  $I_2 = S_2$  or  $I_1 = S_1$  and  $I_2$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_2$  or  $I_1, I_2$  are  $r$ -ideals of  $S_1, S_2$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Assume  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ . Since  $I$  is a proper ideal, we have that at least one of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  is proper. Without loss of generality we may assume  $I_1 = S_1$  and  $I_2 \neq S_2$ . We show that  $I_2$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_2$ . The other case can be proved similarly. Let  $a_2, b_2 \in S$  be such that  $a_2b_2 \in I_2$  and  $\text{ann}(a_2) = 0$ . We have  $\text{ann}(1, a_2) = 0$  and  $(1, a_2)(0, b_2) = (0, a_2b_2) \in I$ . Since  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ , we have  $(0, b_2) \in I$ , and then  $b_2 \in I_2$ . Hence  $I_2$  is an  $r$ -ideal. Conversely, assume  $I = S_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_2$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_2$ . To prove that  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal, let  $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2) \in I$  with  $\text{ann}(a_1, a_2) = 0$ . Then  $a_2b_2 \in I_2$  and  $\text{ann}(a_2) = 0$ . Since  $I_2$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_2$ , we conclude that  $b_2 \in I_2$ . Thus  $(b_1, b_2) \in I$ , and this completes the proof. Under the other assumptions, one can show that  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n, n \geq 2$  be commutative semigroups with zero and identity and  $I_i$  is an ideal of  $S_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$  if and only if  $I_i$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_i$  for some  $i \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t\}$  and  $I_j = S_j$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 2$ , then the assertion follows by Lemma 2.11. Suppose the assertion is true for  $k \leq n - 1$ . Let  $k = n$  and  $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ . Setting  $J = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ , and  $S' = S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 2.11,  $I = J \times I_n$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S' \times S_n$  if and only if  $J$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S'$  and  $I_n = S_n$  or  $J = S'$  and  $I_n$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S_n$  or  $J, I_n$  are  $r$ -ideals of  $S'$  and  $S_n$ , respectively. By induction hypothesis the claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** *A prime ideal  $P$  is an  $r$ -ideal if and only if  $P \subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $S$  and is an  $r$ -ideal, then by Proposition 2.2 we have  $P \subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ . Assume  $P \subseteq \text{zd}(S)$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in P$  and  $\text{ann}(a) = 0$ . Since  $a \notin P$ , we have  $b \in P$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  be prime ideals of  $S$ , which is not comparable, meaning that,  $P_i \not\subseteq P_j$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  is an  $r$ -ideal, then for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $P_i$  is an  $r$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* Assume  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  is an  $r$ -ideal. Without loss of generality we may assume  $i = 1$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in P_1$  and  $ann(a) = 0$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=2}^n P_i \not\subseteq P_1$ , there exists an element  $r \in \bigcap_{i=2}^n P_i$  such that  $r \notin P_1$ , so  $abr \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  is an  $r$ -ideal, we obtain  $br \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i \subseteq P_1$ . Thus  $b \in P_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $P$  be an ideal of  $S$ . If  $P$  is a maximal  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ , then  $P$  is a prime ideal.*

*Proof.* Assume  $P$  is a maximal  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in P$  and  $a \notin P$ . By Theorem 2.8, we have  $(P : a)$  is an  $r$ -ideal containing  $P$ . By the maximality of  $P$ , we have  $b \in (P : a) = P$ . Hence  $P$  is prime.  $\square$

We consider the polynomial ordered semigroup  $S[x] = \{sx^i \mid s \in S, i \geq 0\}$  defined by an indeterminate  $x$ . For any  $s, t \in S$  and  $i, j \geq 0$ , the multiplication on  $S[x]$  is defined by  $(sx^i)(tx^j) = (st)x^{i+j}$ , and the partial order  $\leq$  on  $S[x]$  is defined by  $sx^i \leq tx^j \Leftrightarrow s \leq t$  and  $i \leq j$ . Observe that if  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$ , then  $I[x] = \{ax^i \mid a \in I, i \geq 0\}$  is an ideal of  $S[x]$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $S$ . Then  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$  if and only if  $I[x]$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S[x]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$ . Let  $(sx^i)(tx^j) = (st)x^{i+j} \in I[x]$  with  $ann(sx^i) = 0_{S[x]}$ . It is easy to see that  $ann(sx^i) = 0_{S[x]}$  if and only if  $ann(s) = 0$ . So, we have  $st \in I$  with  $ann(s) = 0$ . Since  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal, we conclude that  $t \in I$ , and so  $tx^j \in I[x]$ . The opposite direction is clear.  $\square$

The polynomial semigroup  $S[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  in  $n$  variables can be defined in a similar way. Also, one can easily show the following result:

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $S$ . Then  $I$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S$  if and only if  $I[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is an  $r$ -ideal of  $S[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ .*

**Acknowledgment.** The Research on "r-Ideals of commutative ordered semigroups" by Khon Kaen University has received funding support from the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF).

## References

- [1] D.D. Anderson, E.W. Johnson, *Ideal theory in commutative semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **30**, (1984), no. 1, 127–158.
- [2] T. Changphas, J. Sanborisoot, *Pure ideals in ordered semigroups*, Kyungpook Math. J., **54**, (2014), 123–129.
- [3] N. Kehayopulu, *On regular due ordered semigroups*, Math. Japon., **32**, (1992), 535–540.
- [4] R. Mohamadian, *r-ideals in commutative rings*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, **39**, (2015), no. 5, 733–749.
- [5] Muhammet Ali Erbay, Unsal Tekir, Suat Koc, *r-ideals of commutative semigroups*, International Journal of Algebra, **10**, no. 11, (2016), 525–533.