

# On $\beta$ -Absorbing Submodules

**Thawatchai Khumprapussorn**

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang  
Bangkok 10520, Thailand

email: thawatchai.kh@kmitl.ac.th

(Received February 19, 2020, Revised March 4, 2020,  
Accepted April 3, 2020)

## Abstract

We introduce the concept of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules of unital left  $R$ -module over a commutative ring with nonzero identity. Many properties and characterizations of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules and weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules are given.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper all rings are commutative rings with nonzero identity and all modules are unital. A proper submodule  $P$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called prime if for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  such that  $rm \in P$ , then either  $r \in (P : M)$  or  $m \in P$ . Note that the ideal  $\{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq P\}$  will be denoted by  $(P : M)$  where  $P$  is a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ .

In [2], the definition of  $\beta$ -prime submodule was introduced. A proper submodule  $P$  of  $M$  is called  $\beta$ -prime if for any element  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  such that  $rm \in P$ , we have  $r + r \in (P : M)$  or  $m + m \in P$ . So every prime submodule is  $\beta$ -prime. Furthermore, the characterization of  $\beta$ -prime submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$  is also given.

On the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\beta$ -prime submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $n = 0$  or  $n = 8$  or  $n$  is a prime number or  $n = 2p$  where  $p$  is a prime number.

---

**Key words and phrases:**  $\beta$ -prime submodule,  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule, weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 16D99.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2020, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

The characterization of  $\beta$ -prime submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$  obtains that  $\beta$ -prime submodules need not to be prime submodules.

A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia in [1] introduced the concept of 2-absorbing submodule that a proper submodule  $P$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a 2-absorbing submodule if for each  $r, s \in R$  and every  $m \in M$  such that  $rs m \in P$ , we have  $rs \in (P : M)$  or  $rm \in P$  or  $sm \in P$ . The following is the characterization of 2-absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In [4], on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $n = 0$  or  $n$  is a prime number or  $n = pq$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers.

**Example 1.1.** We see that  $8\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\beta$ -prime but is not 2-absorbing. However,  $9\mathbb{Z}$  is 2-absorbing but is not  $\beta$ -prime.

In this article, we introduce a slightly different notion of prime submodule and call it  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule. In section 2, we investigate characterizations of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules in arbitrary module. In section 3, we apply the notion of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules to  $\beta$ -absorbing ideals. Some properties of  $\beta$ -absorbing ideals are given. In section 4, we introduce weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules as a generalization of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules and prove that if  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $(P : M)^2\beta(P) \neq 0$ , then  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .

First of all, we start with the definition of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules.

**Definition 1.2.** A proper submodule  $P$  of a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  if for each  $r, s \in R$  and every  $m \in M$  such that  $rs m \in P$ , we have  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ .

**Example 1.3.** Every  $\beta$ -prime submodule of a module is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule. However,  $9\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing but is not  $\beta$ -prime.

**Example 1.4.** Every 2-absorbing submodule of a module is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule. However,  $8\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing but is not 2-absorbing submodule.

Consider  $\mathbb{Z}$  as an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if for all  $r, s, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $n \mid rsm$ , then  $n \mid 2rs$  or  $n \mid 2rm$  or  $n \mid 2sm$ .

**Example 1.5.** Consider  $\mathbb{Z}$  as an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and  $p$  is a prime number. Then  $8p\mathbb{Z}$  and  $32\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Next is the characterization of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.6.** Consider  $\mathbb{Z}$  as an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $n = 0$  or  $n = 32$  or  $n$  is a prime number or  $n = pq$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers or  $n = 2^3p$  where  $p$  is prime number or  $n = 2pq$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers.

*Proof.* Let  $n\mathbb{Z}$  be a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that  $n \neq 0$  and  $n \neq 32$  and  $n$  is not a prime number and  $n \neq pq$  for all prime number  $p$  and  $q$  and  $n \neq 2^3p$  for all prime number  $p$ . Then  $n = ab$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers with  $1 < a, b < n$ . Moreover,  $a$  is not a prime number or  $b$  is not a prime number. We assume that  $a$  is not a prime number. Then  $a = cd$  where  $c$  and  $d$  are integers with  $1 < c, d < a$ . Hence  $n = cdb$ . Since  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \mid 2cd$  or  $n \mid 2cb$  or  $n \mid 2db$ . Since  $n = cdb$ ,  $b = 2$  or  $d = 2$  or  $c = 2$ . This shows that  $n = 2cd$  or  $n = 2cb$  or  $n = 2db$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $n = 2cd$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $c$  is not a prime number or  $d$  is not a prime number. First, we consider  $c$  is not a prime number. Then  $c = c_1c_2$  where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are integers with  $1 < c_1, c_2 < c$ . Then  $n = (2c_1)c_2d$ . Since  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \mid 2(2c_1)c_2$  or  $n \mid 2(2c_1)d$  or  $n \mid 2c_2d$ . Since  $n = 2c_1c_2d$ ,  $d = 2$  or  $c_2 = 2$ .

**Case 1.** Assume that  $d = 2$ . Then  $n = 4c_1c_2$ . Thus  $n \mid 8c_1$  or  $n \mid 8c_2$ . Hence  $c_2 = 2$  or  $c_1 = 2$ . If  $c_2 = 2$ , then  $n = 2^3c_1$ . By our assumption,  $c_1$  is not a prime number. Hence  $c_1 = c_3c_4$  where  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are integers with  $1 < c_3, c_4 < c_1$ . Hence  $n = 8c_3c_4$ . Since  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \mid 16c_3$  or  $n \mid 16c_4$ . Then  $c_4 = 2$  or  $c_3 = 2$ . This means  $n = 16c_3$  or  $n = 16c_4$ . If  $n = 16c_3 = 4 \cdot 4 \cdot c_3$ , then  $n \mid 32$ . So  $c_3 = 2$ . Hence  $n = 32$  which is a contradiction. Similarly, if  $n = 16c_4$ , then  $n = 32$  which leads to a contradiction. Analogously, if  $c_1 = 2$ , then we have the similar of contradiction.

**Case 2.** Assume that  $c_2 = 2$ . By the similar proof of Case 1, we have the same arguments.

Also, if we consider  $d$  is not a prime number, then the above process imply the same contradiction. Hence  $n = 2pq$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers.  $\square$

Note that  $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = \text{lcm}(a, b)\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.7.** The intersection of two 2-absorbing submodules need not to be 2-absorbing submodule. For example,  $4\mathbb{Z}$  and  $6\mathbb{Z}$  are 2-absorbing submodules of  $\mathbb{Z}$  but  $4\mathbb{Z} \cap 6\mathbb{Z} = 12\mathbb{Z}$  is not a 2-absorbing submodules of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.8.** The intersection of two  $\beta$ -prime submodules need not to be  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule. For example,  $8\mathbb{Z}$  and  $3\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\beta$ -prime submodules of  $\mathbb{Z}$  but  $8\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z} = 24\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2 Characterizations of $\beta$ -absorbing submodules

Let  $(G, +)$  be a group and  $H \subseteq G$ . We denote the symbol  $\beta(H)$  by  $\{h+h \mid h \in H\}$  and  $\alpha(H)$  by  $\{h \mid h+h \in H\}$ . It is clear that  $\beta(H) \subseteq H \subseteq \alpha(H)$ . If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $\alpha(I)$  and  $\beta(I)$  are ideals of  $R$ . Furthermore, if  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ , then  $\alpha(N)$  and  $\beta(N)$  are submodules of  $M$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *A proper submodule  $P$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing if and only if for all submodules  $N$  of  $M$  and for all  $a, b \in R$ ,*

$$\text{if } abN \subseteq P, \text{ then } ab + ab \in (P : M) \text{ or } a\beta(N) \subseteq P \text{ or } b\beta(N) \subseteq P.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, assume that  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $abN \subseteq P$ . Suppose that  $a\beta(N) \not\subseteq P$  and  $b\beta(N) \not\subseteq P$ . There are elements  $n_1, n_2 \in N$  such that  $a(n_1 + n_1) \notin P$  and  $b(n_2 + n_2) \notin P$ . Since  $ab(n_1 + n_2) \in abN$  and  $abN \subseteq P$ ,  $ab(n_1 + n_2) \in P$ . Since  $P$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule,  $ab + ab \in (P : M)$  or  $a(n_1 + n_2 + n_1 + n_2) \in P$  or  $b(n_1 + n_2 + n_1 + n_2) \in P$ . Assume that  $a(n_1 + n_2 + n_1 + n_2) \in P$ . Since  $a(n_1 + n_1) \notin P$ ,  $a(n_2 + n_2) \notin P$ . Since  $abn_2 \in P$  and  $P$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule,  $ab + ab \in (P : M)$ . Assume that  $b(n_1 + n_2 + n_1 + n_2) \in P$ . We know that  $b(n_2 + n_2) \notin P$ . Then  $b(n_1 + n_1) \notin P$ . Since  $abn_1 \in P$  and  $P$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule,  $ab + ab \in (P : M)$ . Conversely, let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $rs m \in P$ . Then  $rs(Rm) = R(rs)m \subseteq RP \subseteq P$ . Hence  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r\beta(Rm) \subseteq P$  or  $s\beta(Rm) \subseteq P$ . Since  $m \in Rm$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . Therefore  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $P$  be a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . If  $a \in R$  and  $m \in M$  and  $aIm \subseteq P$ , then  $a(m + m) \in P$  or  $I(m + m) \subseteq P$  or  $aI \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R$  and  $m \in M$  and  $aIm \subseteq P$ . Suppose that  $a(m + m) \notin P$  and  $aI \not\subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ . Then  $ab + ab \notin (P : M)$  for some  $b \in I$ . Hence  $abm \in P$ . Since  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $b(m + m) \in P$ . To show that  $I(m + m) \subseteq P$ , let  $c \in I$ . Then  $a(b + c)m \in P$ . This implies that  $a(b + c) + a(b + c) \in (P : M)$  or  $(b + c)(m + m) \in P$ . Assume that  $a(b + c) + a(b + c) \in (P : M)$ . Since  $ab + ab \notin (P : M)$ ,  $ac + ac \notin (P : M)$ . Since  $acm \in P$  and  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $c(m + m) \in P$ . Next, assume that  $(b + c)(m + m) \in P$ . Since  $b(m + m) \in P$ ,  $c(m + m) \in P$ . This obtains that  $I(m + m) \subseteq P$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $R$  and  $P$  be a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . If  $m \in M$  and  $IJm \subseteq P$ , then  $I(m+m) \subseteq P$  or  $J(m+m) \subseteq P$  or  $IJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m \in M$  and  $IJm \subseteq P$ . Suppose that  $I(m+m) \not\subseteq P$  and  $J(m+m) \not\subseteq P$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have the following facts,

$$aJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M)) \text{ for all } a \in I \setminus (P : m+m) \tag{2.1}$$

$$bI \subseteq \alpha((P : M)) \text{ for all } b \in J \setminus (P : m+m) \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $a \in I$  and  $b \in J$  be such that  $a(m+m) \notin P$  and  $b(m+m) \notin P$ . To shows that  $IJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ , let  $x \in I$  and  $y \in J$ . By equations (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$ab, ay, bx \in \alpha((P : M)) \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $(a+x)(b+y)m \in P$  and  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $(a+x)(b+y) + (a+x)(b+y) \in (P : M)$  or  $(a+x)(m+m) \in P$  or  $(b+y)(m+m) \in P$ . Assume that  $(a+x)(m+m) \in P$ . Then  $x(m+m) \notin P$ . Thus  $xJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ . So  $xy \in \alpha((P : M))$ . If  $(b+y)(m+m) \in P$ , then  $y(m+m) \notin P$ . This implies that  $yI \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ . Hence  $xy \in \alpha((P : M))$ . Finally, assume that  $(a+x)(b+y) + (a+x)(b+y) \in (P : M)$ . We know from equation (2.3) that  $ab, ay, bx \in \alpha((P : M))$ . Therefore  $xy \in \alpha((P : M))$ . This proves that  $IJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $R$  and  $P$  be a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . If  $IJN \subseteq P$ , then  $I(m+m) \subseteq P$  for all  $m \in N$  or  $J(m+m) \subseteq P$  for all  $m \in N$  or  $IJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $IJN \subseteq P$  and  $IJ \not\subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ . Suppose for a contradiction that there are  $m, m' \in N$  such that  $I(m+m) \not\subseteq P$  and  $J(m'+m') \not\subseteq P$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $J(m+m) \subseteq P$  and  $I(m'+m') \subseteq P$ . Then  $IJ(m+m') \subseteq P$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $I(m+m'+m+m') \subseteq P$  or  $J(m+m'+m+m') \subseteq P$ . Since  $J(m+m) \subseteq P$  and  $I(m'+m') \subseteq P$ , we have that  $I(m+m) \subseteq P$  or  $J(m'+m') \subseteq P$  which are contradictions.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *A proper submodule  $P$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\beta$ -absorbing if and only if for all ideals  $I$  and  $J$  of  $R$  and for all submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,*

$$\text{if } IJN \subseteq P, \text{ then } I\beta(N) \subseteq P \text{ or } J\beta(N) \subseteq P \text{ or } IJ \subseteq \alpha((P : M)).$$

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $R$  and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $IJN \subseteq P$  and  $IJ \not\subseteq \alpha((P : M))$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $I(m + m) \subseteq P$  for all  $m \in N$  or  $J(m + m) \subseteq P$  for all  $m \in N$ . Therefore  $I\beta(N) \subseteq P$  or  $J\beta(N) \subseteq P$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) This part follows from Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

For an element  $r \in R$  and a submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , we will denote a submodule  $\{m \in M \mid rm \in N\}$  with the short form  $N_r$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $r, s \in R$ . Assume that  $P$  is a submodule of  $M$ . Then the following statement are equivalent :*

1.  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .
2. If  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ , then  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_r) \cup \alpha(P_s)$ .
3. If  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ , then  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_r)$  or  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_s)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Assume that  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  and  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ . Let  $m \in P_{rs}$ . Then  $rs m \in P$ . By our assumption,  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . Thus  $m + m \in P_r$  or  $m + m \in P_s$ . Therefore  $m \in \alpha(P_r)$  or  $m \in \alpha(P_s)$ . This means  $m \in \alpha(P_r) \cup \alpha(P_s)$ .

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) This part follows from the fact that  $P_{rs}, \alpha(P_r)$  and  $\alpha(P_s)$  are submodules of  $M$ .

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (1) Assume that the statement (3) holds. Let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $rs m \in P$  and  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ . By (3), we have  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_r)$  or  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_s)$ . Since  $rs m \in P$ ,  $m \in P_{rs}$ . Hence  $m \in \alpha(P_r)$  or  $m \in \alpha(P_s)$ . This implies  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . Therefore  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

Note that for a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , we denote  $(N :_M I)$  by  $\{m \in M \mid Im \subseteq N\}$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $P$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then the following statement are equivalent :*

1.  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .
2. If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $IM \not\subseteq P$ , then  $(P :_M I)$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .
3. If  $r \in R$  such that  $rM \not\subseteq P$ , then  $(P :_M Rr)$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Assume that  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $IM \not\subseteq P$ . Then  $(P :_M I) \neq M$ . To show that  $(P :_M I)$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ , let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $rs m \in (P :_M I)$ . Then  $I(rsm) \subseteq P$ . This implies from Proposition 2.1 that  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r\beta(Im) \subseteq P$  or  $s\beta(Im) \subseteq P$ . Since  $(P : M) \subseteq ((P :_M I) : M)$  and  $\beta(Im) = I(m + m)$ , we have  $rs + rs \in ((P :_M I) : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in (P :_M I)$  or  $s(m + m) \in (P :_M I)$ . Therefore  $(P :_M I)$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) and (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1) are directly. □

### 3 Properties of $\beta$ -prime ideals

**Definition 3.1.** A  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $R$ .

Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then  $I$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if for each  $r, s, t \in R$  such that  $rst \in I$ , we have  $r(s + s) \in I$  or  $r(t + t) \in I$  or  $s(t + t) \in I$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** If  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , then  $(P : M)$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Let  $r, s, t \in R$  be such that  $rst \in (P : M)$ . Suppose that  $r(t + t) \notin (P : M)$  and  $s(t + t) \notin (P : M)$ . Thus  $(rst)M \subseteq P$  and there are elements  $x_1, x_2 \in M$  such that  $r(t + t)x_1 \notin P$  and  $s(t + t)x_2 \notin P$ . Since  $rstx_1 \in P$  and  $rstx_2 \in P$ ,  $rst(x_1 + x_2) \in P$ . Since  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r[t(x_1 + x_2) + t(x_1 + x_2)] \in P$  or  $s[t(x_1 + x_2) + t(x_1 + x_2)] \in P$ .

Suppose that  $r[t(x_1 + x_2) + t(x_1 + x_2)] \in P$ . Then  $r(t + t)(x_1 + x_2) \in P$ . Since  $r(t + t)x_1 \notin P$ ,  $r(t + t)x_2 \notin P$ . Since  $rstx_2 \in P$  and  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$ .

Suppose that  $s[t(x_1 + x_2) + t(x_1 + x_2)] \in P$ . Then  $s(t + t)(x_1 + x_2) \in P$ . Since  $s(t + t)x_2 \notin P$ ,  $s(t + t)x_1 \notin P$ . Since  $rstx_1 \in P$  and  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$ .

Therefore  $(P : M)$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . □

Let  $R$  be a ring. The Cartesian product  $R \times R$  is a ring under componentwise addition and the multiplication  $(a, b) * (c, d) = (ac, ad + bc)$ . In the following result, we use the notation  $R(+ )R$  for this ring.

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$ , then  $P \times R$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of  $R(+ )R$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward. □

The following example shows that  $I \times J$  may be not  $\beta$ -absorbing ideals even if  $I$  and  $J$  are  $\beta$ -absorbing ideals.

**Example 3.4.** We know from Example 1.6 that  $8\mathbb{Z}$  and  $13\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of a ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Next, we will show that  $8\mathbb{Z} \times 13\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of a ring  $\mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $r = (4, 3)$ ,  $s = (1, 2)$  and  $t = (2, 1)$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} rst &= (4, 3) * (1, 2) * (2, 1) = (8, 26) \in 8\mathbb{Z} \times 13\mathbb{Z} \\ r(s + s) &= (4, 3) * (2, 4) = (8, 22) \notin 8\mathbb{Z} \times 13\mathbb{Z} \\ r(t + t) &= (4, 3) * (4, 2) = (16, 20) \notin 8\mathbb{Z} \times 13\mathbb{Z} \\ s(t + t) &= (1, 2) * (4, 2) = (4, 10) \notin 8\mathbb{Z} \times 13\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $8\mathbb{Z} \times 13\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $\beta$ -absorbing ideal of a ring  $\mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 4 Weakly $\beta$ -absorbing submodules

**Definition 4.1.** *A proper submodule  $P$  of  $M$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  if for each  $r, s \in R$  and every  $m \in M$  such that  $rs m \in P \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ .*

Every  $\beta$ -absorbing is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule but the converse does not necessarily hold. For example, consider  $\mathbb{Z}_{105}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $\{\bar{0}\}$  is weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing but is not  $\beta$ -absorbing because  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = \bar{0}$  but  $(3 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5)\mathbb{Z}_{105} \not\subseteq \{\bar{0}\}$  and  $3(7 + 7) = 42 \neq \bar{0}$  and  $5(7 + 7) = 70 \neq \bar{0}$ .

Let  $r \in R$  and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Recall that we define  $N_r = \{m \in M \mid rm \in N\}$ . In case  $N = \{0\}$ , we denote  $\{0\}_r$  by  $0_r$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $P$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  if and only if for all  $r, s \in R$ , if  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ , then  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_r) \cup \alpha(P_s) \cup 0_{rs}$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Let  $r, s \in R$  be such that  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$  and  $m \in P_{rs}$ . Then  $rs m \in P$ . If  $rs m = 0$ , then  $m \in 0_{rs}$ . Assume that  $rs m \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  and  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ ,  $r(m + m) \in P$  or

$s(m + m) \in P$ . Hence  $m \in \alpha(P_r)$  or  $m \in \alpha(P_s)$ . This implies that  $m \in \alpha(P_r) \cup \alpha(P_s) \cup 0_{rs}$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Assume that for all elements  $r, s \in R$ , if  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ , then  $P_{rs} \subseteq \alpha(P_r) \cup \alpha(P_s) \cup 0_{rs}$ . Let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $rs m \in P \setminus \{0\}$  and  $rs + rs \notin (P : M)$ . Then  $m \in P_{rs}$ . By assumptions,  $m \in \alpha(P_r) \cup \alpha(P_s)$ . Hence  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . This proves that  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

Let  $m \in M$  and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then we define the symbol  $(N : m) = \{r \in R \mid rm \in N\}$ . For a zero submodule  $\{0\}$  of  $M$ , we denote  $(\{0\} : m)$  by  $(0 : m)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $P$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  if and only if for all  $s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $sm \notin \alpha(P)$ ,  $(P : sm) \subseteq \alpha((P : sM)) \cup \alpha((P : m)) \cup (0 : sm)$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $sm \notin \alpha(P)$  and  $r \in (P : sm)$ . Then  $rs m \in P$ . If  $rs m = 0$ , then  $r \in (0 : sm)$ . Now, we assume that  $rs m \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule and  $sm \notin \alpha(P)$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$ . Then  $r \in \alpha((P : sM))$  or  $r \in \alpha((P : m))$ . Hence  $(P : sm) \subseteq \alpha((P : sM)) \cup \alpha((P : m)) \cup (0 : sm)$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Assume that for all  $s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $sm \notin \alpha(P)$ , we have  $(P : sm) \subseteq \alpha((P : sM)) \cup \alpha((P : m)) \cup (0 : sm)$ . Let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $rs m \in P \setminus \{0\}$  and  $sm \notin \alpha(P)$ . Then  $r \in (P : sm)$  and  $r \notin (0 : sm)$ . By assumption,  $r \in \alpha((P : sM)) \cup \alpha((P : m))$ . Hence  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$ . Therefore  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with identity and  $M_i$  be a unital  $R_i$ -module where  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $M_1 \times M_2$  is an  $(R_1 \times R_2)$ -module under the operation  $(r_1, r_2)(m_1, m_2) = (r_1 m_1, r_2 m_2)$  for all  $(r_1, r_2) \in R_1 \times R_2$  and  $(m_1, m_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ . We use these notation for the next two results.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  and  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  and let  $N_1$  be an  $R_1$ -submodule of  $M_1$ . Then  $N_1$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1$  if and only if  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $N_1$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1$ . Let  $r_1, s_1 \in R_1$  and  $r_2, s_2 \in R_2$  and  $(m_1, m_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$  be such that  $(r_1, r_2)(s_1, s_2)(m_1, m_2) \in N_1 \times M_2$ . Then  $r_1 s_1 m_1 \in N_1$ . Since  $N_1$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1$ , we have  $r_1 s_1 + r_1 s_1 \in (N_1 : M_1)$  or  $r_1(m_1 + m_1) \in N_1$  or  $s_1(m_1 + m_1) \in N_1$ .

This implies that  $(r_1, r_2)(s_1, s_2) + (r_1, r_2)(s_1, s_2) \in (N_1 \times M_1 : M_1 \times M_2)$  or  $(r_1, r_2)((m_1, m_2) + (m_1, m_2)) \in N_1 \times M_2$  or  $(s_1, s_2)((m_1, m_2) + (m_1, m_2)) \in N_1 \times M_2$ . Hence  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M_1$  be such that  $rs m \in N_1$ . Then  $(r, 0)(s, 0)(m, 0) = (rsm, 0) \in N_1 \times M_2$ . Since  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ , we have that  $(r, 0)(s, 0) + (r, 0)(s, 0) \in (N_1 \times M_2 : M_1 \times M_2)$  or  $(r, 0)((m, 0) + (m, 0)) \in N_1 \times M_2$  or  $(s, 0)((m, 0) + (m, 0)) \in N_1 \times M_2$ . Hence  $rs + rs \in (N_1 : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in N_1$  or  $s(m + m) \in N_1$ . Therefore  $N_1$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  and  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  and let  $N_1$  be an  $R_1$ -submodule of  $M_1$ . Consider the following statements.*

1.  $N_1$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1$ .
2.  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ .
3.  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ .

Then (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3). Moreover, if  $M_2 \neq \{0\}$ , then (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.

*Proof.* It implies from Proposition 4.4 that (1)  $\leftrightarrow$  (2). For the part (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3), this directly follows from definition of  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules and weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodules. To prove (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1), we assume that (3) holds and  $y \in M_2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $r, s \in R_1$  and  $m \in M_1$  be such that  $rs m \in N_1$ . Then  $(r, 1)(s, 1)(m, y) = (rsm, y) \in (N_1 \times M_2) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Hence  $(r, 1)(s, 1) + (r, 1)(s, 1) \in (N_1 \times M_2 : M_1 \times M_2)$  or  $(r, 1)((m, y) + (m, y)) \in N_1 \times M_2$  or  $(s, 1)((m, y) + (m, y)) \in N_1 \times M_2$ . Then  $rs + rs \in (N_1 : M_1)$  or  $r(m + m) \in N_1$  or  $s(m + m) \in N_1$ . Therefore  $N_1$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M_1$ .  $\square$

The following example show the condition  $M_2 \neq \{0\}$  is necessary for proving the part (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1) of Proposition 4.5.

**Example 4.6.** To show that  $\{\bar{0}\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{45}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is not a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule, we consider  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{45} = \bar{0}$  but  $(3 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 3)\mathbb{Z}_{45} = 18\mathbb{Z}_{45} \neq \{\bar{0}\}$  and  $3 \cdot (\bar{5} + \bar{5}) = \bar{30} \neq \bar{0}$ . Hence  $\{\bar{0}\}$  is not a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_{45}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Furthermore,  $\{\bar{0}\} \times \{0\}$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_{45} \times \{0\}$  as an  $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ -module.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $P$  be a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  and  $rs m = 0$  where  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . If  $k \in (P : M)$  and  $krm \neq 0$ , then  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $k \in (P : M)$  and  $krm \neq 0$ . Then  $r(k + s)m = rkm + rsm = rkm \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $r(k + s) + r(k + s) \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $(k + s)(m + m) \in P$ . Since  $k \in (P : M)$ ,  $rk \in (P : M)$  and  $km \in P$ . Hence  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *If  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $(P : M)^2\beta(P) \neq 0$ , then  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $(P : M)^2\beta(P) \neq 0$ . Let  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  be such that  $rs m \in P$ . If  $rs m \neq 0$ , then  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . Assume that  $rs m = 0$ . We consider two cases as follow.

**Case 1.**  $rs\beta(P) \neq 0$ .

Let  $n_0 \in P$  be such that  $rs(n_0 + n_0) \neq 0$ . Then  $rs(m + n_0 + n_0) = rs(n_0 + n_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$  and  $n_0 \in P$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ .

**Case 2.**  $rs\beta(P) = 0$ .

**Subcase 2.1.**  $s(P : M)m \neq 0$ .

Let  $t \in (P : M)$  be such that  $stm \neq 0$ . Then  $s(r + t)m = rsm + stm = stm \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $s(r + t) + s(r + t) \in (P : M)$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$  or  $(r + t)(m + m) \in P$ . Since  $t \in (P : M)$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ .

**Subcase 2.2.**  $s(P : M)m = 0$ .

Since  $(P : M)^2\beta(P) \neq 0$ ,  $kf(n + n) \neq 0$  for some  $k, f \in (P : M)$  and  $n \in P$ .

By Lemma 4.7 obtains that if  $krm \neq 0$  or  $rfm \neq 0$ , then  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . Hence  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Now, we assume that  $krm = rfm = 0$ . If  $kfm \neq 0$ , then  $(k + r)(f + s)m = kfm + ksm + rfm + rsm = kfm \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $(k + r)(f + s) + (k + r)(f + s) \in (P : M)$  or  $(k + r)(m + m) \in P$  or  $(f + s)(m + m) \in P$ . Since  $k, f \in (P : M)$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m + m) \in P$  or  $s(m + m) \in P$ . Hence  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Next, we assume that  $kfm = 0$  and consider two subsubcases as follow.

**Subsubcase 2.2.1**  $kr(n + n) \neq 0$  or  $sf(n + n) \neq 0$ .

Firstly, assume that  $kr(n+n) \neq 0$ . Then  $r(s+k)(n+n+m) = rs(n+n) + rsm + rk(n+n) + rkm = rk(n+n) \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $r(s+k) + r(s+k) \in (P : M)$  or  $r(n+n+m+n+n+m) \in P$  or  $(s+k)(n+n+m+n+n+m) \in P$ . Since  $k \in (P : M)$  and  $n \in P$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m+m) \in P$  or  $s(m+m) \in P$ . Similarly, If  $sf(n+n) \neq 0$ , then  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m+m) \in P$  or  $s(m+m) \in P$ .

**Subsubcase 2.2.2**  $kr(n+n) = sf(n+n) = 0$ . Then  $(s+k)(r+f)(m+n+n) = srm + sr(n+n) + sfm + sf(n+n) + krm + kr(n+n) + kfm + kf(n+n) = kf(n+n) \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is a weakly  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ ,  $(s+k)(r+f) + (s+k)(r+f) \in (P : M)$  or  $(s+k)(m+n+n+m+n+n) \in P$  or  $(r+f)(m+n+n+m+n+n) \in P$ . Since  $k, f \in (P : M)$  and  $n \in P$ ,  $rs + rs \in (P : M)$  or  $r(m+m) \in P$  or  $s(m+m) \in P$ .

Therefore  $P$  is a  $\beta$ -absorbing submodule of  $M$ . □

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang under Grant no. 2563-02-05-03.

## References

- [1] A. Darani, F. Soheilnia, 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules, Thai Journal of Mathematics, **9**, (2011), 577–584.
- [2] T. Khumprapussorn, On  $\beta$ -prime submodules, The Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society, **25**, (2019), 128–138.
- [3] Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On the 2-absorbing submodules, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, **10**, (2015), 131–137.
- [4] Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On 2-absorbing submodules, Algebra Colloquium (Spec 1), **19**, (2012), 913–920.