International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **14**(2019), no. 3, 563–572

$\binom{M}{CS}$

Generalized Orders and Approximation Errors of Entire Harmonic Functions in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$

Devendra Kumar¹, Manisha Vijayran²

¹Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences Al-Baha University P. O. Box-1988 Alaqiq, Al-Baha-65431, Saudi Arabia

²Shahba College of Business Administration Faculty of Administrative and Financial Sciences Al-Baha University P. O. Box 1988 Al-Baha-65431, Saudi Arabia

email: d_kumar001@rediffmail.com, vijayran.m@gmail.com

(Received February 21, 2019, Accepted April 4, 2019)

Abstract

Coefficient characterizations of generalized order and lower order of an entire harmonic function represented by Fourier-Laplace series have been obtained in terms of ratio of harmonic polynomial approximation errors in sup norm. Similar results also have been obtained in terms of ratio of L^2 -approximation errors.

1 Introduction

It has been noticed that time dependent problems in \mathbb{R}^3 leads to the study of entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^4 . Also, the harmonic functions play an

Key words and phrases: Approximation errors, entire harmonic functions, generalized orders and ball of radius R.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 30E10, 41A15. ISSN 1814-0432, 2019, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net important role in physics, mechanics and theoretical mathematical research to describe different stationary processes. Thus, it is significant to study generalized orders of harmonic functions in an *n*-dimensional space.

Several authors had obtained the characterization of growth parameters of an entire function f(z) in terms of the sequences of polynomial approximation and interpolation errors taken over different domains in the complex plane. Similar characterizations had been investigated for entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$ in terms of harmonic polynomial approximation errors.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{\lambda_k}, a_k \neq 0$, be an entire function. Following Seremeta [5], we define the generalized order and generalized lower order as:

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\log M(r; f))}{\beta(\log r)},\tag{1.1}$$

$$\lambda = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\log M(r; f))}{\beta(\log r)},\tag{1.2}$$

where $M(r; f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$

and $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $\beta \in L^0$. Let L^0 denote the class of functions h satisfying the following conditions:

(i) h(x) is defined on $[a,\infty)$ such that h is differentiable, monotonically strictly increasing, tends to ∞ as $x \to \infty$ and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{h[(1+\delta(x))x]}{h(x)} = 1, h(x) > 0, x \in [a, \infty),$$
(1.3)

for every $\delta(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. By Λ we denote the class of functions $h \in L^0$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{h(cx)}{h(x)} = 1, 0 < c < \infty,$$
(1.4)

provided that convergence in (1.4) is uniform with respect to $c, 0 < c_1 \leq c \leq$ $c_2 < \infty$.

Following results have been proved by Bajpai et. al.,[1]:

Theorem A. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda, \beta \in L^0$ and let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{\lambda_k}$ be entire, $a_k \neq 0$. Set $F(x; c) = \beta^{-1}[c(\alpha(x))]$. If $dF(x; c)/d \log x = O(1)$ as $x \to \infty$ for all $c, 0 < c < \infty$ and $\varphi(k) = \{ \log |\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} | \}/(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)$ is non-decreasing, then

$$\rho = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\lambda_k)}{\beta\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})} \log |\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k}|\}}.$$
(1.5)

/ ~

Theorem B. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{\lambda_k}$, $a_k \neq 0$, be an entire function of growth λ . If $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\beta \in L^0$ and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[x\varphi(x)]}{\alpha[x]} = 1, if \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} = 0$$
(1.6)

for φ defined on $[a, \infty)$ increasing indefinitely, then we have

$$\lambda = \max_{\{n_k\}} \{\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{n_{k-1}}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k}} \log |a_{n_k}|^{-1}]} \}$$
$$= \max_{\{n_k\}} \{\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{n_k-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{(\lambda_{n_k} - \lambda_{n_k-1})} \log |\frac{a_{n_k} - 1}{a_{n_k}}|]} \},$$

where $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}$ is subspace of integers $\{\lambda_k\}$ and $a_{n_k} \in \{a_n\}$. **Lemma C.** Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{\lambda_n}, a_n \neq 0$, be an entire function of growth λ defined by (1.2), where α satisfies in addition (1.6), then we have

$$\lambda \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{n-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \log |a_n|^{-1}]}$$
(1.7)

and

$$\lambda \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{n-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})} \log |\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}|]}.$$
(1.8)

For (1.8), we define β negatively in the complement of $[a, \infty)$. **Lemma D.Let** $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{\lambda_k}, a_k \neq 0$, be such an entire function of growth λ that $\{|\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}}|^{(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_k)}\}$ forms a non-decreasing function for $k > k_0$, then we have

$$\lambda \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \log |a_k|^{-1}]}$$

and

$$\lambda \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})} \log |\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k}|]}.$$

2 Entire Harmonic Functions

Let $B_R^n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| \leq R\}$ be a ball of radius R in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$ centered at the origin of coordinates and $\overline{B_R^n}$ be its closure. Let $H_R, 0 < R < \infty$, be

D. Kumar, M. Vijayran

denote the class of harmonic functions in B_R^n and continuous on $\overline{B_R^n}$. It is known [7] that $u \in H_R$ can be expanded in a Fourier-Laplace series

$$u(rx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y^{(k)}(x; u) r^k, \forall r, 0 < r < R,$$
(2.9)

where $Y^{(k)}$ denote the spherical harmonics or Laplace spherical functions of degree k in the unit sphere $S^n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| = 1\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \ge 3$ [3, pp.157-174; 4, 6],

$$Y^{(k)}(x;u) = a_1^{(k)} Y_1^{(k)}(x) + a_2^{(k)} Y_2^{(k)}(x) + \dots + a_{\gamma_k}^{(k)} Y_{\gamma_k}^{(k)}(x),$$
$$a_j^{(k)} = (u, Y_j^{(k)}), j = \overline{1, \gamma_k}, x \in S^n,$$

 $(u, Y_j^{(k)})$ is the scalar product in $L^2(S^n)$ and $\gamma_k = \frac{(2k+n-2)(k+n-3)!}{k!(n-2)!}$ is the number of linearly independent spherical harmonics of degree k and scalar product in $L^2(S^n)$ is defined as

$$(f,g) = \frac{1}{w_n} \int_{S^n} f(x)g(x)ds,$$

where ds is an element of area of the sphere S^n and $w_n = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$ be the area of surface.

Veselovs'ka [8] defined the generalized order and lower order of an entire harmonic function $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $\alpha \in L^0$ and $\beta \in \Delta$ by the formulas:

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\log M(r; u))}{\beta(r)},$$

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\log M(r; u))}{\beta(r)},$$

where $M(r; u) = \max_{x \in S^n} |u(rx)|$.

Veselovs'ka [8] obtained coefficient characterizations of $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ and $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ in terms of approximation error in sup norm. In this paper, we will study generalized order $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ and generalized lower order $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ in terms of ratio of approximation errors in sup norm and L^2 -norm.

The approximation error of function $u \in H_R$ by harmonic polynomials $P \in \Pi_k$ is defined as

$$E_R^k(u) = \inf_{P \in \Pi_k} \{ \max_{y \in \overline{B_R}} |u(y) - P(y)| \},$$
(2.10)

566

where Π_k be a set of harmonic polynomials of degree not exceeding k. Now we state the following lemmas which will be used in the sequel. **Lemma 2.1** [8]. If $u \in H_R$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ inequality

$$\max_{\xi \in S^n} |Y^{(k)}(\xi; u)| R^k \le \frac{4(k+2\nu)^{2\nu}}{(2\nu)!} E_R^{k-1}(u)$$

holds, where $\nu = \frac{n-2}{2}$. Lemma 2.2 [8]. For an entire harmonic function $u \in \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$, the following estimation holds

$$E_{R}^{k}(u) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{(2\nu)!}}(2\nu+1)(k+2\nu)^{2\nu}M(r;u)(\frac{R}{r})^{k}\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, r > eR.$$

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $u \in H_R$ be continued to an entire harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^n . For $\alpha(x) \in \Delta, \beta(x) \in L^o$ Set $F(x;c) = \beta^{-1}[c\alpha(x)]$. If $\frac{dF(x,c)}{d\log x} = O(1)$ as $x \to \infty$ for all $c, 0 < c < \infty$ and $\varphi(k) = \{ \log | \frac{E_R^k(u)}{E_k^{k+1}(u)} | \} / (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)$ is non-decreasing function of k, then

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\lambda_k)}{\beta\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})} \log |\frac{RE_R^{k-1}(u)}{E_R^k(u)}|\}}.$$
(3.11)

Proof. Consider the entire function of one complex variable

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{(2\nu)!}}{\sqrt{2}(2\nu+1)!(k+2\nu)^{2\nu}} E_R^k(u) (\frac{z}{R})^{\lambda_k},$$

$$f_2(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{(2\nu)!} (k+2\nu)^{2\nu} E_R^{k-1}(u) (\frac{z}{R})^{\lambda_k}.$$

Now using lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 for r > eR, we get

$$\mu(r; f_1) \le M(r; u) \le |Y^k(\xi; u)| + M(r; f_2).$$
(3.12)

where $\mu(r; f_1)$ is the maximum term in power series expansion of the function $f_1(z)$ and $M(r; f_2)$ is the maximum term of the modulus of the function $f_2(z)$. Thus from (3.2) we obtain

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f_1) \le \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u) \le \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f_2). \tag{3.13}$$

Now using Theorem A for the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ we get

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f_1) = \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f_2) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha(\lambda_k)}{\beta\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})} \log |\frac{RE_R^{k-1}(u)}{E_R^k(u)}|\}}.$$

In view of (3.3) we obtain the required result (3.1).

Theorem 3.2. Let $u \in H_R$ be continued to an entire harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^n . For $\alpha(x) \in \Delta, \beta(x) \in L^o$ and

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\xi\varphi(\xi)]}{\alpha[\xi]} = 1, if \lim_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\xi} = 0$$

for φ defined on $[a, \infty)$ increases indefinitely, then

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \max_{\{k_m\}} \{\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k_{m-1}}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_m}} \log[R^{-\lambda_{k_m}} E_R^{k_m}(u)]^{-1}]}\}$$
(3.14)

and

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \max_{\{k_m\}} \{\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k_m-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k_m} - \lambda_{k_m-1})} \log |\frac{RE_R^{k_m-1}(u)}{E_R^{k_m}(u)}|]}\},\tag{3.15}$$

where $\{\lambda_{k_m}\}$ is subsequence of integers $\{\lambda_k\}$ and $E_R^{k_m}(u) \in \{E_R^k(u)\}$. **Proof.** It is known from the construction of Newton's polygon that order ρ and lower order λ defined bu (1.1) and (1.2) are the same for the functions $f_1(z), f_2(z)$ and their auxiliary functions

$$f_1^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{(2\nu)!}}{\sqrt{2}(2\nu+1)!(k+2\nu)^{2\nu}} E_R^{k_m}(u) (\frac{z}{R})^{\lambda_{k_m}},$$

$$f_2^*(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{(2\nu)!} (k+2\nu)^{2\nu} E_R^{k_m-1}(u) (\frac{z}{R})^{\lambda_{k_m-1}},$$

constructed such that $f_1(z)$, $f_2(z)$ and $f_1^*(z)$, $f_2^*(z)$ have the same principal indices respectively; i.e., they have the same maximum modulus terms. Taking into account Lemma C, we obtain

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_1) = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_2) \ge \liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k_m-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_m}} \log[R^{-\lambda_{k_m}} E_R^{k_m}(u)]^{-1}]}$$
(3.16)

and

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_1) = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_2) \ge \liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k_m-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k_m} - \lambda_{k_m-1})} \log |\frac{RE_R^{k_m-1}(u)}{E_R^{k_m}(u)}|]},$$
(3.17)

568

for every subsequence $\{\lambda_{k_m}\}$; $\{\lambda_k\}$ and $(E_R^{k_m}(u))$ are corresponding coefficients. But as $f_1^*(z)$ and $f_2^*(z)$ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma D, hence from (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_1) = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_2) = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \max_{\{k_m\}} \{\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k_m-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_m}} \log[R^{-\lambda_{k_m}} E_R^{k_m}(u)]^{-1}]} \}$$
$$= \max_{\{k_m\}} \{\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha[\lambda_{k_m-1}]}{\beta[\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k_m} - \lambda_{k_m-1})} \log|\frac{RE_R^{k_m-1}(u)}{E_R^{k_m}(u)}|]} \}$$
(3.18)

Now using (3.2) we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_1) \le \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u) \le \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f_2)$$

it follows from (3.8) the completeness of the proof of Theorem 3.2. **Remark 3.1.** For $\alpha(t) = \beta(t) = \log t$ the Theorem 3.1 gives the following formula for the order $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ of $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log \lambda_k}{\log \log [R \frac{E_R^{\lambda_{k-1}}(u)}{E_R^{\lambda_k}(u)}]^{\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})}}}.$$

4 Growth of Entire Harmonic Functions in Terms of L²-Approximation Errors

Let $L^2(S^n)$ denote the class of real valued functions u(rx) which are entire harmonic in the unit sphere S^n and for which $\int \int_{S^n} |u(ry)|^2 ds(y) < \infty$. Let

$$e_R^{2,k}(u) = \{\min_{P \in \pi_k} \int \int_{S^n} |u(y) - P(y)|^2 ds(y)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is known that if $u \in L^2(S^n)$ and $\{e_R^{2,k}(u)\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \to 0$, then u(rx) is an entire function.

Theorem 4.1. Let $u \in L^2(S^n)$ and $\{e_R^{2,k}(u)\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then u(rx) is an entire harmonic function. Furthermore,

$$G(rx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_R^{2,k}(u) r^k$$

D. Kumar, M. Vijayran

is entire and we have

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(G) = \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(u), \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(G) = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$$
(4.19)

and formulae similar to (3.1),(3.4) and (3.5) $E_R^k(u)$ replaced by $e_R^{2,k}(u)$ hold. **Proof.** From [3] we have

$$Y^{(k)}(x;u) = \frac{k+\nu}{\nu w_n} \int_{S^n} c_k^{\nu}[(x,y)]u(y)ds(y)$$
(4.20)

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in S^n$, (.) is the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n and c_k^{ν} are the Gegenbauer polynomials of degree k and $\nu = \frac{n-2}{2}$. It is known that a harmonic polynomial is the sum of homogeneous harmonic polynomials, using the summation theorem [2, p.235], for the Gegenbauer polynomials c_k^{ν} , we obtain

$$\int_{S^n} c_k^{\nu}[(\xi,\eta)] P(\tau\eta) ds(\eta) = 0, \qquad (4.21)$$

where $P \in \pi_{k-1}, 0 < \tau < R$ and $\xi \in S^n$. Using (4.3) with Schwartz inequality in (4.2), we get

$$Y^{(k)}(\xi;u)\tau^{k} = \frac{k+\nu}{\nu w_{n}} (\int_{S^{n}} |c_{k}^{\nu}[(\xi,\eta)]u(\tau\eta) - P(\tau\eta)|^{2} ds(\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{S^{n}} ds(\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From [2, p.176] we have

$$\max_{1 \le t \le 1} |c_k^{\nu}(t)| \le c_k^{\nu}(1) = \frac{(k+2\nu-1)!}{(2\nu-1)!k!},$$

it gives

$$|Y^{(k)}(\xi;u)|\tau^k \le \frac{k+\nu}{\nu w_n} c_k^{\nu}(1) w_n (\int_{S^n} |u(\tau\eta) - P(\tau\eta)|^2 ds(\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{2(k+2\nu)!}{(2\nu)!k!} e_R^{2,k}(u)$$

$$\leq \frac{2(k+2\nu)^{2\nu}}{(2\nu)!} e_R^{2,k}(u).$$

Using the definition of $e_R^{2,k}(u)$ we obtain

$$e_R^{2,k}(u) \le ||u(y) - P(u)||_2 \le A^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{y \in \overline{B^n}_R} |u(y) - P(y)| = A^{\frac{1}{2}} E_R^k(u)$$

570

where A is the area of B_R^n . Now using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$e_R^{2,k}(u) \le \sqrt{\frac{2A}{(2\nu)!}} (2\nu+1)!(k+2\nu)^{2\nu} M(r;u)(\frac{R}{r})^k.$$

Hence

$$M(r;G) \le \sqrt{\frac{2A}{(2\nu)!}} (2\nu+1)! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2\nu)^{2\nu} M(r;u) (\frac{R}{r})^k.$$

so for all sufficiently large **r**

$$M(\frac{r}{e},G) \le M(r;u). \tag{4.22}$$

Further

$$\begin{split} M(r,G') &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e_R^{2,k}(u) r^{k-1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(2\nu)!}{2(k+2\nu)^{2\nu}} |Y^{(k)}(x;u)| \tau^k r^k \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Y^{(k)}(x;u)| r^k \\ &\geq (1+o(1)) \frac{M(r;u)}{r} \end{split}$$

it gives

$$M(r+1,G) > (1+o(1))\frac{M(r;u)}{r}.$$
(4.23)

Now the result (4.1) follows from (4.4) and (4.5). The remaining proof follows as in Theorem 3.1 and 3.2.

References

- S. K. Bajpai, S. K. S. Gautam, S. S. Bajpai, Generalization of growth constants, 1, Ann. Polon. Math. XXXVII, (1980), 13–24.
- [2] H. Bateman, A. Erdèlyi, Higher Transcendental Functions, [Russian Translation], 2, Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
- [3] H. Berens, P. L. Butzer, S. Pawelke, Limitierungs verfahren von Reihen mehrdimensionaler Kugelfunktionen und deren Saturationsverhalten, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A, 4, (1968), 201–268.
- [4] T. B. Fugard, Growth of entire harmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2$, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **74**, (1980), 289–291.
- [5] M. N. Seremeta, On the connection between the growth of the maximum modulus of an entire function and the moduli of the coefficients of its power series expansions, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, 88, (1970), 291–301.
- [6] E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis of Euclidean Spaces [Russian Translation], Mir, Moscow, 1974.
- [7] A. F. Timan, V. N. Trafimov, Introduction to the Theory of Harmonic Functions [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1968.
- [8] O. V. Veselovs'ka, On the approximation and growth of entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^n , Ukrain. Math. J., **70**, no. 4, (2018), 532–539.