

Finite groups in which nearly S -permutability is a transitive relation

Khaled Mustafa Aljamal¹, Ahmad Termimi Ab Ghani¹,
Khaled A. Al-Sharo²

¹School of Informatics and Applied Mathematics
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia

²Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Al al-Bayt University
Al-Mafraq, Jordan

email: khaled_aljammal@yahoo.com, termimi@umt.edu.my,
sharo_kh@yahoo.com

(Received February 3, 2019, Accepted March 1, 2019)

Abstract

A subgroup H of G is called nearly S -permutable in G if for every prime p such that $(p, |H|) = 1$ and for every subgroup K of G containing H the normalizer $N_K(H)$ contains some Sylow p -subgroup of K . A group G is called an $NSPT$ -group if nearly S -permutability is a transitive relation in G . A number of new characterizations of finite solvable $NSPT$ -groups are given.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that all groups considered are finite. Our notation is standard and consistent with [3]. We introduce and study the class $NSPT$ -group; that is, the class of all finite groups in which nearly S -permutability is a transitive relation. More specifically, we are interested in

Key words and phrases: solvable group, Sylow subgroup, permutable subgroup, nearly S -permutable subgroup.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 20D10, 20D20, 20D35.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2019, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

subgroups, homomorphic images, and direct product of *NSPT*-groups and other related algebraic properties.

A subgroup H of a group G is said to *permute* with a subgroup K if HK is a subgroup of G . H is said to be *permutable* in G (or *S-permutable*) if it permutes with all the (Sylow) subgroups of G . One of the earliest results about permutable subgroups [7] states that every permutable subgroup... In [6] Kegel proved that *S-permutable* subgroups are necessarily subnormal. Actually a result stronger than permutable subgroups is that of subnormal subgroups. For a subgroup H of G , it is enough to know that H permutes with all of its conjugates to deduce that H is subnormal (see [5], p. 50). Nearly *S-permutability* have been introduced and studied in [2], while permutable and *S-permutable* subgroups are subnormal while a nearly *S-permutable* subgroup need not be subnormal in general. As an example one might consider D_{18} the dihedral group of order 18 which has three subgroups of order 6 and each of these subgroups will be nearly *S-permutable* but not subnormal. There has been several approaches to apply normality, permutability and *S-permutability* in the study of some finite group classes. To make our point clear we list some helping notations H *s*-per G denotes H is *S-permutable* in G , H per G denotes H is permutable in G , and H nsp G denotes H is nearly *S-permutable* in G . Let ρ be any of the properties {normality, permutability, Sylow permutability}. The property ρ is said to be transitive in a group G if for any two subgroups A and B in G the relations $A \rho B$, and $B \rho G$ always implies $A \rho G$. By *T*-groups, *PT*-groups, and *PST*-groups we denote the class of groups in which normality, (repect. permutability, and *S-permutability*) is transitive relation.

One of the generalizations for *S-permutable* subgroups we are interested in is the *nearly S-permutable* subgroups which was introduced in [2]. In this paper we introduce and study finite groups in which *nearly S-permutability is transitive* relation. Our main object is to determine some properties of finite solvable *NSPT*-groups.

We first consider the following definition:

Definition 1.1. (Al-Sharo [2]) *A subgroup H of G is called nearly S-permutable in G if for every prime p such that $(p, |H|) = 1$ and for every subgroup K of G containing H the normalizer $N_K(H)$ contains some Sylow p -subgroup of K . We shall write H nsp G to denote that H is nearly *S-permutable* in G .*

Motivated by the theory of *T*-groups (resp. *PT*-groups, *PST*-groups), we introduce the class of *NSPT*-groups.

Definition 1.2. A group G is called $NSPT$ -group if nearly S -permutability is a transitive relation in G . That is, G is $NSPT$ -group if for all subgroups H and K where $H \text{ nsp } K \text{ nsp } G$ we have $H \text{ nsp } G$.

Since the concept of $NSPT$ -groups relays on nearly S -permutability we fix some elementary properties of nearly S -permutable subgroups that follows directly from the definition.

Remark 1.3. Let H be a nearly S -permutable subgroup of a group G . If K is any subgroup of G such that $H \leq K \leq G$. Then H is nearly S -permutable in K .

Remark 1.4. If G is p -group then every subgroup of G is nearly S -permutable in G .

Remark 1.5. Let us denote by $\pi(G)$ the set of all prime divisors of the group G . If H is subgroup of G such that $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$ then H is nearly S -permutable in G .

It is clear that the class \mathfrak{A} of abelian groups is an example of $NSPT$ -groups. Moreover, \mathfrak{N}_p -the class of all p -groups is another example of $NSPT$ -group. One of the nice facts about the class of $NSPT$ -groups is the following:

Theorem A. Every nilpotent group is $NSPT$ -group.

As an example of non $NSPT$ -group we have the following:

Example 1. Consider the alternating group $A_4 = \langle (1, 2, 3), (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle$ of order 12. If we take $H = \langle (1, 3)(2, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle$ to be the 2-Sylow subgroup of A_4 then H is normal in A_4 . Hence, H is nearly S -permutable in A_4 . Now H being a group of order 4 then H must be abelian and every subgroup of H would be normal in H . Let us take $K = \langle (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle$. Then $K \text{ nsp } H \text{ nsp } A_4$ but K is not $\text{nsp } A_4$. To see this note that $K \leq A_4$, and $g, c, d, (3, |K|) = 1$, and $N_{A_4}(K) = H$ which doesn't contain any 3-Sylow subgroup of A_4 . Therefore, K is not $\text{nsp } A_4$ and A_4 is not $NSPT$ -group.

In the years 1953, 1964, and 1975, Gaschütz, Zacher, and Agrawal, respectively, proved the following definitive results on solvable T -groups, PT -groups, and PST -groups.

Theorem 1. (Gaschütz [4], Zacher [10], Agrawal [1]) A solvable T -group (PT -group, PST -group) is supersolvable.

The next theorem gives a similar result for *NSPT*-groups.

Theorem B. *A solvable NSPT-group is supersolvable.*

Theorem C. *If G_1 and G_2 are two NSPT-groups and $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$, then $G = G_1 \times G_2$ is also a NSPT-group.*

Remark 1.6. *In Theorem C., the condition that $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ is necessary. The following example shows this.*

Example 2. Let $C_3 = \langle c : c^3 = e \rangle$ be the cyclic group of order 3 and $S_3 = \langle a, b : a^3 = b^2 = (ba)^2 = 1 \rangle$ be the symmetric group on 3 letters. Then C_3 -being abelian group- must be *NSPT*-group. In the group S_3 the only nearly *S*-permutable subgroups are $\langle e \rangle$, $A_3 = \langle a \rangle$, and S_3 it self. Hence S_3 is also a *NSPT*-group. Let $D = S_3 \times C_3$. We show that D is not *NSPT*-group. Let $B = \langle (a, e), (e, c) \rangle \simeq A_3 \times C_3$, $B \in Syl_3(D)$. Then B has order 3^2 and index 2 in D . Therefore, B is abelian normal subgroup in D . The normality of B in D implies that B is nearly *S*-permutable in D . The fact that B is abelian implies that every subgroup of B is nearly *S*-permutable in B . In particular if we pick $A = \langle (a, c) \rangle$ then $A \text{ nsp } B$, and $B \text{ nsp } D$, but A is not *nsp* D . To see this we consider $A \leq G$ with $p = 2$ for which $(2, |A|) = 1$. Then the 2-Sylow subgroup of D has order 2 and is not contained in $N_D(A)$ and A is not *nsp* D . Hence D is not *NSPT*-group.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we list some results that are interesting in their own and some of which will be used in the proofs of the given theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *(Frattini Argument, see [5, Lemma 1.13.]) Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and suppose that $P \in Syl_p(N)$. Then $G = N_G(P)N$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two groups such that $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$, and $G = G_1 \times G_2$. Then the following statements are true:*

1) *every p -Sylow subgroup of G is isomorphic to a p -Sylow either of G_1 or G_2 .*

2) *for any subgroup $H \leq G$ we have and $N_G(H) \simeq N_{G_1}(H_1) \times N_{G_2}(H_2)$. Where $H_i \leq G_i$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. 1) Since $G_1 \cap G_2 = 1$, and $G_i \leq G$. Then $G \simeq G_1 G_2$. Now if $P \in Syl_p(G)$ and $P_i \in Syl_p(G_i)$ then $P_i = P \cap G_i$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and 1) follows.

2) The second part of this statement follows from the first, and the first follows from $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a subgroup of G . If H is S -permutable in G then H is nearly S -permutable in G .*

Proof. Let H be nearly S -permutable in G . If $\pi(G) = \pi(H)$ then by Remark 1.5 H will be nearly S -permutable in G . So we may assume that $\pi(G) \setminus \pi(H) \neq \emptyset$. Let p be any prime in $\pi(G) \setminus \pi(H)$. For any subgroup K of G such that $H \leq K \leq G$ we pick $P_K \in Syl_p(K)$. Since H s -per G , then H s -per K and therefore HP_K is a subgroup of K . Therefore, H is S -permutable in HP_K . So, H is subnormal in HP_K . Note that $\text{g.c.d.}(|H|, |HP_K : H|) = 1$. That is H is a subnormal Hall subgroup of HP_K . Hence, H is normal in HP_K . Therefore, $P_K \leq N_K(H)$ and H is nearly S -permutable in G . \square

Lemma 2.4. *([2, Lemma 2.2]) Let G be a group, $H \leq G$ and N be a normal subgroup of G .*

(1) *If H is nearly S -permutable in G , then HN is nearly S -permutable in G .*

(2) *If H is nearly S -permutable in G and H is a group of prime power order, then $H \cap N$ is nearly S -permutable in G .*

(3) *If H is nearly S -permutable in G and H is a group of prime power order, then HN/N is nearly S -permutable in G/N for any normal subgroup N of G .*

(4) *If H is nearly S -permutable in G and $|H| = p^n$ for some prime p , then $H \leq O_p(G)$.*

3 The Proofs

Proof of Theorem A. Let G be a nilpotent group then every p -Sylow subgroup of G is normal in G . Therefore, every p -Sylow subgroup permutes with every subgroup of G . That means every subgroup of G is S -permutable in G . By Lemma 2.3 every subgroup of G is nearly s -permutable in G . Hence, G is $NSPT$ -group. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Let G be a solvable group we prove that if G is not supersolvable then G is not $NSPT$ -group. Since G is solvable and not supersolvable group then G has a chief factor A/B which is elementary abelian of order p^k for some prime p and an integer $k > 1$. Let P be a p -Sylow subgroup of A . From the Frattini argument (see Lemma 2.1) we get: $G = AN_G(P)$. Since P/B is normal in A/B then P is normal in A , consequently P is characteristic in A . Now $P \text{ char } A \trianglelefteq G$ implies P normal in G . Hence $N_G(P) = G$. Let Q be a p -subgroup such that $PB \leq Q \leq P$ such that Q/B is in the center of a p -Sylow subgroup of G/B . First, we show that Q is not nearly S -permutable in G .

Since A/B is a chief factor of G , Q can not be normal in G . Since, $N_G(Q) \geq P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and $N_G(Q) \neq G$ then there is a prime $r \neq p$ and an r -element $g \in G$ such that $g \notin N_G(Q)$. Let $K = \langle P, g \rangle$. So, $|K| = |P|r^t$ where g has order r^t . So, $N_K(Q)$ does not contain an r -Sylow subgroup R of K . That is Q is not nearly S -permutable in G . Hence, we have: $Q \text{ nsp } P$, $P \text{ nsp } G$, but Q is not $\text{nsp } G$. Therefore G is not $NSPT$ -group. Contradiction, and the theorem follows. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Let G_1 and G_2 be two $NSPT$ -groups with $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$, and set $G = G_1 \times G_2$. Let $A \leq B$ be two subgroups of G such that A is nearly S -permutable in B , and B is nearly S -permutable in G . Assume that A is not $\text{nsp } G$. Then there exists a subgroup K of G such that $A \leq K \leq G$ and for some prime number p with $(p, |A|) = 1$ some p -Sylow subgroup P of K is not in $N_K(A)$. From Lemma 2.2 (1) $P = P_1P_2$ where $P_i \leq G_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. From $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ one of the the P_i 's must be 1. So, we may assume that $p \in \pi(G_1)$. It is clear that all the proof's assumptions may be translated to the group G_1 . Again, by Lemma 2.2 (2) and the assumption $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ we get: $A = A_1A_2 \text{ nsp } B = B_1B_2 \text{ nsp } G = G_1 \times G_2$ implies $A_1 \text{ nsp } B_1 \text{ nsp } G_1$ and A_1 is not $\text{nsp } G_1$. Contradiction with the assumption that G_1 is $NSPT$ -group and the theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] R. K. Agrawal, Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **47**, (1975), 77–83.
- [2] Khaled A. Al-Sharo, On nearly S -permutable subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra **40**, no. 1, (2012), 315–326.
- [3] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, De Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [4] W. Gaschütz, Gruppen, in denen das normalteilersein transitiv ist. J. Reine Angew. Math., **198**, (1957), 87–92.
- [5] Martin I. Isaacs, Finite Group Theory, AMS Graduate studies in mathematics, **92**, (2008), (2008), 350 pages.
- [6] O. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z., **78**, (1962), 205–221.
- [7]) O. Ore, Contributions to the theory of groups of finite order, Duke Math. J., **5**, (1939), 431–460.
- [8] D. J. S. Robinson, A course in the theory of groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **80**, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [9] Y. Wang, C -Normality of Groups and its Properties, J. Algebra, **78**, (1996), 101–108.
- [10] G. Zacher, I gruppi risolubili finiti in cui i sottogruppi di composizione coincidono con i sottogruppi quasi-normali, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., (8) **37**, (1964), 150–154.