International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **13**(2018), no. 1, 1–8

### (M CS)

## On certain new applications of quasi-power increasing sequences

### Hüseyin Bor

P. O. Box 121, TR-06502 Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey

email: hbor33@gmail.com

(Received September 27, 2017, Accepted October 31, 2017)

#### Abstract

In this paper, we generalize a known theorem dealing with the absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series. Some new and known results are also obtained.

## 1 Introduction

A positive sequence  $X = (X_n)$  is said to be a quasi-f-power increasing sequence if there exists a constant  $K = K(X, f) \ge 1$  such that  $Kf_nX_n \ge f_mX_m$ for all  $n \ge m \ge 1$ , where  $f = (f_n) = \{n^{\sigma}(\log n)^{\eta}, \eta \ge 0, 0 < \sigma < 1\}$  (see [14]). If we take  $\eta=0$ , then we get a quasi- $\sigma$ -power increasing sequence (see [13]). For any sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  we write that  $\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$ . The sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  is said to be of bounded variation, denoted by  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$ , if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta\lambda_n| < \infty$ . Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series. We denote by  $t_n^{\alpha,\beta}$  the *n*th Cesàro mean of order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , with  $\alpha + \beta > -1$ , of the sequence  $(na_n)$ , that is (see [9])

$$t_{n}^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha+\beta} = O(n^{\alpha+\beta}), \quad A_0^{\alpha+\beta} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad A_{-n}^{\alpha+\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0.$$
 (2)

Key words and phrases: Cesàro mean, absolute summability factor, power increasing sequence, infinite series, sequence space, Minkowski inequality, Hölder inequality.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications**: 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99, 46A45.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2018, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net

Let  $(u_n^{\alpha,\beta})$  be a sequence defined by (see [1])

$$u_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \left| t_n^{\alpha,\beta} \right|, & \alpha = 1, \beta > -1\\ \max_{1 \le v \le n} \left| t_v^{\alpha,\beta} \right|, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > -1. \end{cases}$$
(3)

A series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|C, \alpha, \gamma, \beta; \delta|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta > -1$ , and  $\gamma \in R$ , if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{\mid t_n^{\alpha,\beta} \mid^k}{n^k} < \infty.$$
(4)

If we take  $\gamma = 1$ , then the  $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \delta|_k$  summability reduces to  $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ summability (see [3]). If we set  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , then we obtain the  $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability (see [10]). Also, if we take  $\beta = 0$ , then we have  $|C, \alpha, \gamma; \delta|_k$  summability (see [16]). Furthermore, if we take  $\gamma = 1, \beta = 0$ , and  $\delta = 0$ , then we get  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summability (see [11]). Finally, if we take  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then we get  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$  summability (see [12]).

2. The known results. The following theorems are known dealing with  $|C, \alpha, \gamma; \delta|_k$  summability factors of infinite series.

**Theorem A** ([6]). Let  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$  and let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi-f-power increasing sequence for some  $\sigma$  ( $0 < \sigma < 1$ ) and  $\eta \ge 0$ . Suppose also that there exist sequences  $(\kappa_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that

$$\mid \Delta \lambda_n \mid \leq \kappa_n \tag{5}$$

$$\kappa_n \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mid \Delta \kappa_n \mid X_n < \infty \tag{7}$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (8)

If the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_n^{\alpha})^k}{n^k} = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty$$
(9)

holds, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|C, \alpha, \gamma; \delta|_k, k \ge 1, 0 \le \delta < \alpha \le 1, \gamma \in R$ , and  $\{k + \alpha k - \gamma(\delta k + k - 1)\} > 1$ .

If we set  $\eta = 0$ , then we get a known result dealing with an application of quasi- $\sigma$ -power increasing sequences (see [4]).

**Theorem B** ([7]). Let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi-f-power increasing sequence for some

On certain new applications of quasi-power increasing sequences

 $\sigma$  (0 <  $\sigma$  < 1) and  $\eta \ge 0$ . Suppose also that there exist sequences ( $\kappa_n$ ) and ( $\lambda_n$ ) such that the conditions (5)-(8) are satisfied. If the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_n^{\alpha})^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty$$
(10)

holds, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|C, \alpha, \gamma; \delta|_k, k \ge 1, 0 \le \delta < \alpha \le 1, \gamma \in R$ , and  $\{\alpha k - \gamma(\delta k + k - 1)\} > 0$ .

**Remark.** It should be noted that condition (10) is the same as condition (9) when k=1. When k > 1, condition (10) is weaker than condition (9) but the converse is not true (see [7,15]). Also, it should be noted that the condition " $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$ " has been removed.

**3. The main result.** The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem B for the  $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \delta|_k$  summability. Now, we shall prove the following theorem. **Theorem.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi-f-power increasing sequence for some  $\sigma$   $(0 < \sigma < 1)$  and  $\eta \ge 0$ . Suppose also that there exist sequences  $(\kappa_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that the conditions (5)-(8) are satisfied. If the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_n^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty$$
(11)

satisfies, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \delta|_k, k \ge 1, 0 \le \delta < \alpha \le 1, \gamma \in R$ , and  $(\alpha + \beta)k - \gamma(\delta k + k - 1) > 0$ .

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem. Lemma ([1]). If  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ , and  $1 \le v \le n$ , then

$$\left|\sum_{p=0}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} a_{p}\right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left|\sum_{p=0}^{m} A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} a_{p}\right|.$$
(12)

**Lemma 2** ([5]). Under the conditions on  $(X_n)$ ,  $(\kappa_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  as expressed in the statement of the theorem, then we have the following;

$$nX_n\kappa_n = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$
 (13)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_n X_n < \infty. \tag{14}$$

4. Proof of the theorem. Let  $(T_n^{\alpha,\beta})$  be the *n*th  $(C,\alpha,\beta)$  mean of the sequence  $(na_n\lambda_n)$ . Then, by (1), we have

$$T_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v \lambda_v.$$

First, applying Abel's transformation and then using Lemma 1, we have that

$$T_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v,$$

$$|T_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}| \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_{v}|| \sum_{p=1}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} p a_{p}| + \frac{|\lambda_{n}|}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} |\sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_{v}^{(\alpha+\beta)} u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta} |\Delta\lambda_{v}| + |\lambda_{n}| u_{n}^{\alpha,\beta} = T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, by Minkowski's inequality, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)-k} | T_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta} |^k < \infty, \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1, 2.$$
 (15)

Whenever k > 1, we can apply Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$ ,

we get that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)-k} \mid T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} \mid^{k} &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)-k} (A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta})^{-k} \{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (A_{v}^{\alpha+\beta})^{k} (u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \mid \Delta \lambda_{v} \mid^{k} \} \\ &\times \{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} 1\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)-1-(\alpha+\beta)k} \{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \kappa_{v}^{k} \} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \kappa_{v}^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+(\alpha+\beta)k-\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \kappa_{v}^{k} \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{1+(\alpha+\beta)k-\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} (u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \kappa_{v} \kappa_{v}^{k-1} v^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} (u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \kappa_{v} \left(\frac{1}{vX_{v}}\right)^{k-1} v^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v\kappa_{v}) \sum_{r=1}^{v} r^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k}}{r^{k}X_{v}^{k-1}} \\ &+ O(1)m\kappa_{m} \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k}}{v^{k}X_{v}^{k-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v\kappa_{v})| X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |(v+1)\Delta\kappa_{v} - \kappa_{v}| X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta\kappa_{v} \mid X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{v}X_{v} + O(1)m\kappa_{m}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the theorem and Lemma 2 . Finally, we have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)-k} \mid T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta} \mid^{k} &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mid \lambda_{n} \mid^{k-1} \mid \lambda_{n} \mid n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_{n}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k}}{n^{k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mid \lambda_{n} \mid n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_{n}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k}}{n^{k} X_{n}^{k-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta \mid \lambda_{n} \mid \sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k}}{v^{k} X_{v}^{k-1}} \\ &+ O(1) \mid \lambda_{m} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)} \frac{(u_{n}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k}}{n^{k} X_{n}^{k-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \mid \Delta \lambda_{n} \mid X_{n} + O(1) \mid \lambda_{m} \mid X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{n} X_{n} + O(1) \mid \lambda_{m} \mid X_{m} = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty, \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the theorem and Lemma 2. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**5.** Conclusions. If we take  $\beta = 0$ , then we obtain Theorem B. If we set  $\gamma = 1$ , then we obtain a known result under weaker conditions (see [8]). If we set  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , then we get a new result dealing with  $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability factors. If we take  $\gamma = 1$ , then we obtain a new result concerning the  $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability factors. If we take  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then we have a new result dealing with  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$  summability factors of infinite series. Furthermore if we take  $\eta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then we obtain Theorem A under weaker conditions. Finally, if we take  $\eta = 0$ , then we get a new result dealing with an application of quasi- $\sigma$ -power increasing sequences.

On certain new applications of quasi-power increasing sequences

# References

- H. Bor, On a new application of power increasing sequences, Proc. Est. Acad. Sci., 57, (2008), 205-209.
- [2] H. Bor, On the generalized absolute Cesàro summability, Pac. J. Appl. Math., 2, (2010), 217-222.
- [3] H. Bor, An application of almost increasing sequences, Appl. Math. Lett., 24, (2011), 298-301.
- [4] H. Bor, On generalized absolute Cesàro summability, Appl. Math. Comput., 217, (2011), 8923-8926.
- [5] H. Bor, A new application of generalized power increasing sequences, Filomat, 26, (2012), 631-635.
- [6] H. Bor, A new result on the quasi power increasing sequences, Appl. Math. Comput., 248, (2014), 426-429.
- [7] H. Bor, Some new applications of power increasing sequences, Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett., 37, (2014), 371-374.
- [8] H. Bor, Generalized absolute Cesàro summability factors, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., 8, (2016), 6-10.
- [9] D. Borwein, Theorems on some methods of summability, Quart. J. Math., Oxford, Ser. (2), 9, (1958), 310-316.
- [10] G. Das, A Tauberian theorem for absolute summability, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 67, (1970), 321-326.
- [11] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc., 7, (1957), 113-141.
- [12] T. M. Flett, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 8, (1958), 357-387.
- [13] L. Leindler, A new application of quasi power increasing sequences, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 58, (2001), 791-796.
- [14] W. T. Sulaiman, Extension on absolute summability factors of infinite series, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322, (2006), 1224-1230.

- [15] W. T. Sulaiman, A note on  $|A|_k$  summability factors of infinite series, Appl. Math. Comput., **216**, (2010), 2645-2648.
- [16] A. N. Tuncer, On generalized absolute Cesàro summability factors, Ann. Polon. Math, 78, (2002), 25-29.